Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science

Jürgen Schönwälder

September 5, 2024

https://cnds.constructor.university/courses/mfcs-2024/

CONSTRUCTOR UNIVERSITY

Intended Learning Outcomes

- explain basic concepts and properties of algorithms
- understand the concept of termination and complexity metrics
- illustrate basic concepts of discrete math (sets, relations, functions)
- use basic proof techniques and apply them to prove properties of algorithms
- summarize basic principles of Boolean algebra and propositional logic
- use predicate logic and outline concepts such as validity and satisfiability
- distinguish abstract algebraic structures such as groups, rings and fields
- classify different structure preserving maps (homomorphisms)
- understand calculations in finite fields and their applicability to computer science
- explain elementary concepts of graph theory. use different graph representations
- outline basic graph algorithms (e.g., traversal, search, spanning trees)

Topics and Timeline

Part	Topic	Time
I	Algorithms, Complexity, Correctness	2 weeks
II	Proofs, Sets, Relations, Functions	2 weeks
III	Data Representation	2 weeks
IV	Boolean Algebra	2 weeks
V	Propositional and Predicate Logic	1 week
VI	Abstract Algebra	2 weeks
VII	Graphs and Graph Algorithms	1 week
VIII	Software Correctness	2 weeks

Assessment

- Module achievement (during the semester):
  - 50% of 10 (weekly) assignments correctly solved
  - 2 additional (weekly) assignments can be used to makeup points
  - Students without module achievement are not allowed to sit for the exam
  - Submit homework solutions regularly from the beginning
- Written examination (December 2024 and/or January 2025):
  - Duration: 120 min (closed book)
  - Scope: All intended learning outcomes of the module
  - Pen and paper (human proctoring)
- You can audit the module. To earn an audit, you have to pass a short oral interview about key concepts introduced in this module at the end of the semester.

Assignments

- We will post weekly homework assignments
- Assignments reinforce what has been discussed in class
- Assignments will be small individual assignments (but may take time to solve)
- Solving assignments will prepare you for the written examination
- Solutions must be submitted individually via Moodle
- Teaching assistants will review your solutions

Study Groups

- I strongly suggest to form study groups.
- It helps to discuss questions and course materials in study your group, in particular when you are getting stuck.
- Discussions in a study group can help you understand what is demanded by a problem.
- Study group members may try different approaches to solve a problem and you can benefit from that.
- However, submissions must be individual solutions.
- It is acceptable to sketch a possible solution in a study group, then you work out the details of the solution yourself.

Code of Academic Integrity

- The University has a "Code of Academic Integrity"
  - a document approved by the entire community
  - you have signed it during enrollment
  - it is a "law of the university", we take it serious
- It mandates good behaviours from faculty and students and it penalizes bad ones:
  - honest academic behavior (e.g., no cheating)
  - respect and protect intellectual property of others (e.g., no plagiarism)
  - treat all university members equally (e.g., no favoritism)
- It protects you and it builds an atmosphere of mutual respect
  - we treat each other as reasonable persons
  - the other's requests and needs are reasonable until proven otherwise
  - if others violate our trust, we are deeply disappointed (may be leading to severe and uncompromising consequences)

Academic Integrity Committee (AIC)

- The Academic Integrity Committee is a joint committee by students and faculty.
- Mandate: to hear and decide on any major or contested allegations
  - the AIC decides based on evidence in a timely manner
  - the AIC makes recommendations that are executed by academic affairs
  - the AIC tries to keep allegations against faculty anonymous for the student
- Every member of our university (faculty, student, ...) can appeal any academic integrity allegations to the AIC.

Cheating

- There is no need to cheat, cheating prevents you from learning
- Useful collaboration versus cheating:
  - You will be required to hand in your own original code/text/math for all assignments
  - You may discuss your homework assignments with others, but if doing so impairs your ability to write truly original code/text/math, you will be cheating
  - Copying from peers, books or the Internet is plagiarism unless properly attributed
- What happens if we catch you cheating?
  - We will confront you with the allegation (you can explain yourself)
  - If you admit or are silent, we impose a grade sanction and we notify the student records office
  - Repeated infractions are reported to the AIC for deliberation
- Note: Both active cheating (copying from others) and passive cheating (allowing others to copy) are penalized equally

Deadlines

- Deadlines will be strict (don't bother to ask for extensions)
- Make sure you submit the right document. We grade what was submitted, not what could have been submitted.
- Submit early, avoid last minute changes or software/hardware problems.
- Official excuses by the student records office will extend the deadlines, but not more than the time covered by the excuse.
- A word on medical excuses: Use them when you are ill. Do not use them as a tool to gain more time.
- You want to be taken serious if you are seriously ill. Misuse of excuses can lead to a situation where you are not taken too serious when you deserve to be taken serious.

Culture of Questions, Answers, and Explanations

- Answers to questions require an explanation even if this is not stated explicitly
  - A question like 'Does this algorithm always terminate?' can in principle be answered with 'yes' or 'no'.
  - We expect, however, that an explanation is given why the answer is 'yes' or 'no', even if this is not explicitly stated.
- Answers should be written in your own words
  - Sometimes it is possible to find perfect answers on Wikipedia, Stack Exchange, ChatGPT or in good old textbooks.
  - Simply copying the answer of someone else is plagiarism.
  - Copying the answer and providing the reference solves the plagiarism issue but usually does not show that you understood the answer.
  - Hence, we want you to write the answer in your own words.
  - Learning how to write concise and precise answers is an important academic skill.

Culture of Interaction

- I am here to help you learn the material.
- If things are unclear, ask questions.
- If I am going too fast, tell me.
- If I am going too slow, tell me.
- Discussions in class are most welcome – don't be shy.
- Discussions in tutorials are even more welcome – don't be shy.
- If you do not understand something, chances are pretty high your neighbor does not understand either.
- Don't be afraid of asking teaching assistants or myself for help and additional explanations.

Study Material and Forums

- There is no required textbook.
- The slides and lecture notes are available on the course web page.
  `https://cnds.constructor.university/courses/mfcs-2024`
- We will use the Moodle system for assignments etc.
  `https://elearning.constructor.university/`
- General questions should be asked on the Moodle forums:
  - Faster responses since many people can answer
  - Better responses since people can collaborate on the answer
- For individual questions, send me email or come to see me at my office (or talk to me after class or wherever you find me).

Hardware, Software, and Brainware

- You will need a computer to follow this course (any modern notebook will do)
- Get used to standard software tools:
  - Good and powerful editors such as `emacs` or `vim` (or `VS Code`)
  - Unix-like operating systems such as `Linux` (e.g., Ubuntu)
  - Learn how to use a command interpreter (shell) like `bash` or `zsh`
  - Learn to write structured documents using LaTeX (great for typesetting math)
  - Learn how to maintain an agenda and TODO items (managing your time)
  - Get familiar with version control systems (e.g., `git`)
- Learn how to touch-type (typing without having to look at the keyboard)
- Learn how to maintain a healthy work life balance
  - Getting enough sleep is important for your brain to be effective
  - A workout may spark an idea if you are stuck on a problem

Further Useful Information

- The handbooks defining all details of the Computer Science program can be found on the registrar's web page:

  `https://constructor.university/student-life/registrar-services/study-program-handbooks`

- The underlying academic university policies can be found on this web page:

  `https://constructor.university/student-life/student-services/university-policies/academic-policies`

Part 1: Algorithms, Complexity, Correctness

1. Computer Science and Algorithms
2. Maze Generation Algorithms
3. String Search Algorithms
4. Complexity and Correctness

Section 1: Computer Science and Algorithms

1 Computer Science and Algorithms

2 Maze Generation Algorithms

3 String Search Algorithms

4 Complexity and Correctness

Computer Science

- Computer science is the study of computers and algorithmic processes, including their principles, their hardware and software designs, their applications, and their impact on society.
  [ACM 2003]
- Computer science is the study of computation, information, and automation.
  [Wikipedia, 2024-09-05]
- Computer Science is a field of study that deals with the theory, design, development, and application of computers and computational systems.
  [ChatGPT 3.5, 2023-07-28]

Algorithm

Definition (algorithm)

In computer science, an *algorithm* is a self-contained sequence of actions to be performed in order to achieve a certain task.

- If you are confronted with a problem, do the following steps:
  - first think about the problem to make sure you fully understand it
  - afterwards try to find an algorithm to solve the problem
  - try to assess the properties of the algorithm (will it handle corner cases correctly? how long will it run? will it always terminate?, ...)
  - consider possible alternatives that may have "better" properties
  - finally, write a program to implement the most suitable algorithm you have selected
- Is the above an algorithm to find algorithms to solve a problem?

Algorithmic Thinking

Algorithmic thinking is a collection of abilities that are essential for constructing and understanding algorithms:

- the ability to analyze given problems
- the ability to specify a problem precisely
- the ability to determine basic actions adequate to solve a given problem
- the ability to construct a correct algorithm using the basic actions
- the ability to think about all possible special and normal cases of a problem
- the ability to assess and improve the efficiency of an algorithm

Section 2: Maze Generation Algorithms

1 Computer Science and Algorithms

2 Maze Generation Algorithms

3 String Search Algorithms

4 Complexity and Correctness

Maze (33 x 11)



Problem Statement

Problem:

- Write a program to generate mazes.
- Every maze should be solvable, i.e., it should have a path from the entrance to the exit.
- We want maze solutions to be unique.
- We want every "room" to be reachable.

Questions:

- How do we approach this problem?
- Are there other properties that make a maze a "good" or a "challenging" maze?



Hacking. . .

Problem Formalization (1/3)

- Think of a maze as a (two-dimensional) grid of rooms separated by walls.
- Each room can be given a name.
- Initially, every room is surrounded by four walls
- General idea:
  - Randomly knock out walls until we get a good maze.
  - How do we ensure there is a solution?
  - How do we ensure there is a unique solution?
  - How do we ensure every room is reachable?



Problem Formalization (2/3)

Lets try to formalize the problem in mathematical terms:

- We have a set V of rooms.
- We have a set E of pairs $\{x, y\}$ with $x \in V$ and $y \in V$ of adjacent rooms that have an open wall between them.

In the example, we have

- $V = \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, o, p\}$
- $\{a, b\} \in E$ and $\{g, k\} \in E$ and $\{a, c\} \notin E$ and $\{e, f\} \notin E$

Abstractly speaking, this is a mathematical structure called a graph consisting of a set of vertices (also called nodes) and a set of edges (also called links).



Why use a mathematical formalization?

- Data structures are typically defined as mathematical structures
- Mathematics can be used to reason about the correctness and efficiency of data structures and algorithms
- Mathematical structures make it easier to *think* — to abstract away from unnecessary details and to avoid "hacking"

Problem Formalization (3/3)

Definition:

- A maze $M = (G, S, X)$ consists of a graph $G = (V, E)$, the start node S, and and the exit node X.

Interpretation:

- Each graph node $x \in V$ represents a room
- An edge $\{x, y\} \in E$ indicates that rooms x and y are adjacent and there is no wall in between them
- The first special node S is the start of the maze
- The second special node X is the exit of the maze



Mazes as Graphs (Visualization via Diagrams)

- Graphs are very abstract objects, we need a good, intuitive way of thinking about them.
- We use diagrams, where the nodes are visualized as circles and the edges as lines between them.
- Note that the diagram is a *visualization* of the graph, and not the graph itself.
- A *visualization* is a representation of a structure intended for humans to process visually.



Mazes as Graphs (Good Mazes)

Recall, what is a good maze?

- We want maze solutions to be unique.
- We want every room to be reachable.

Solution:

- The graph must be a tree (a graph with a unique root node and every node except the root node having a unique parent).
- The tree should cover all nodes (we call such a tree a spanning tree).

Since trees have no cycles, we have a unique solution.



Kruskal's Algorithm (1/2)

General approach:

- Randomly add a branch to the tree if it won't create a cycle (i.e., tear down a wall).
- Repeat until a spanning tree has been created (all nodes are connected).

Questions:

- When adding a branch (edge) (x, y) to the tree, how do we detect that the branch won't create a cycle?
- When adding an edge (x, y), we want to know if there is already a path from x to y in the tree (if there is one, do not add the edge (x, y).
- How can we quickly determine whether there is already a path from x to y?

Kruskal's Algorithm (2/2)

The Union Find Algorithm successively puts nodes into an *equivalence class* if there is a path connecting them. With this idea, we get the following algorithm to construct a spanning tree:

1. Initially, every node is in its own equivalence class and the set of edges is empty.
2. Randomly select a possible edge (x, y) such that x and y are not in the same equivalence class.
3. Add the edge (x, y) to the tree and join the equivalence classes of x and y.
4. Repeat the last two steps if there are still multiple equivalence classes.

Randomized Depth-first Search

Are there other algorithms? Of course there are. Here is a different approach to build a tree rooted at the start node.

1. Make the start node the current node and mark it as visited.
2. While there are unvisited nodes:
   - 2.1 If the current node has any neighbours which have not been visited:
     - 2.1.1 Choose randomly one of the unvisited neighbours
     - 2.1.2 Push the current node to the stack (of nodes)
     - 2.1.3 Remove the wall between the current node and the chosen node
     - 2.1.4 Make the chosen node the current node and mark it as visited
   - 2.2 Else if the stack is not empty:
     - 2.2.1 Pop a node from the stack (of nodes)
     - 2.2.2 Make it the current node

Section 3: String Search Algorithms

1 Computer Science and Algorithms

2 Maze Generation Algorithms

3 String Search Algorithms

4 Complexity and Correctness

Problem Statement

Problem:

- Write a program to find a (relatively short) string in a (possibly long) text.
- This is sometimes called finding a needle in a haystack.

Questions:

- How can we do this efficiently?
- What do we mean with long?
- What exactly is a string and what is text?

Problem Formalization

- Let Σ be a finite set, called an alphabet.
- Let k denote the number of elements in Σ.
- Let Σ^* be the set of all words that can be created out of Σ (Kleene closure of Σ):

$$\Sigma^0 = \{\epsilon\}$$
$$\Sigma^1 = \Sigma$$
$$\Sigma^i = \{wv : w \in \Sigma^{i-1}, v \in \Sigma\} \text{ for } i > 1$$
$$\Sigma^* = \cup_{i \geq 0} \Sigma^i$$

- Let $t \in \Sigma^*$ be a (possibly long) text and $p \in \Sigma^*$ be a (typically short) pattern.
- Let n denote the length of t and m denote the length of p with $n \gg m$.
- Find the first occurance of p in t.

Naive String Search

- Check at each text position whether the pattern matches (going left to right).
- Lowercase characters indicate comparisons that were skipped.
- Example: t = `FINDANEEDLEINAHAYSTACK`, p = `NEEDLE`

```
F I N D A N E E D L E I N A H A Y S T A C K

N e e d l e
  N e e d l e
    N E e d l e
      N e e d l e
        N e e d l e
          N E E D L E
```

Naive String Search Performance

- How "fast" is naive string search?
- Idea: Lets count the number of comparisons.
- Problem: The number of comparisons depends on the strings.
- Idea: Consider the worst case possible.
- What is the worst case possible?
  - Consider a haystack of length n using only a single symbol of the alphabet (e.g., "aaaaaaaaaa" with $n = 10$).
  - Consider a needle of length m which consists of $m - 1$ times the same symbol followed by a single symbol that is different (e.g., "aax" with $m = 3$).
  - With $n \gg m$, the number of comparisons needed will be roughly $n \cdot m$.

Boyer-Moore: Bad character rule (1/2)

- Idea: Lets compare the pattern right to left instead left to right. If there is a mismatch, try to move the pattern as much as possible to the right.
- Bad character rule: Upon mismatch, move the pattern to the right until there is a match at the current position or until the pattern has moved past the current position.
- Example: t = `FINDANEEDLEINAHAYSTACK`, p = `NEED`

```
F I N D A N E E D L E I N A H A Y S T A C K        skip

n e E D                                            1
    n e e D                                        2
         N E E D
```

Boyer-Moore: Bad character rule (2/2)

- Example: t = `FINDANEEDLEINAHAYSTACK`, p = `HAY`

```
F I N D A N E E D L E I N A H A Y S T A C K        skip

h a Y                                               2
      h a Y                                         2
            h a Y                                   2
                  h a Y                             2
                        h a Y                       1
                            H A Y
```

- How do we decide efficiently how far we can move the pattern to the right?

Boyer-Moore: Good suffix rule (1/3)

- Idea: If we already matched a suffix and the suffix appears again in the pattern, skip the alignment such that we keep the good suffix.
- Good suffix rule: Let s be a non-empty suffix already matched in the inner loop. If there is a mismatch, skip alignments until (i) there is another match of the suffix (which may include the mismatching character), or (ii) a prefix of p matches a suffix of s or (iii) skip until the end of the pattern if neither (i) or (ii) apply to the non-empty suffix s.
- Example: t = `FINDANEEDLEINAHAYSTACK`, p = `NEEDUNEED`

```
F I N D A N E E D L E I N A H A Y S T A C K      skip

n e e d U N E E D                                  4
        n e e d u n e e D
```

Boyer-Moore: Good suffix rule (2/3)

- Example: t = FINDANEEDLEINAHAYSTACK, p = EDISUNEED

```
F I N D A N E E D L E I N A H A Y S T A C K      skip

e d i s U N E E D                                  6
          e d i s u n e e D
```

Boyer-Moore: Good suffix rule (3/3)

- Example: t = FINDANEEDLEINAHAYSTACK, p = FOODINEED

```
F I N D A N E E D L E I N A H A Y S T A C K        skip

f o o d I N E E D                                    8
          f o o d i n e e D
```

- How do we decide efficiently how far we can move the pattern to the right?

Boyer-Moore Rules Combined

- The Boyer-Moore algorithm combines the bad character rule and the good suffix rule. (Note that both rules can also be used alone.)
- If a mismatch is found,
  - calculate the skip s_b by the bad character rule
  - calculate the skip s_g by the good suffix rule

  and then skip by $s = \max(s_b, s_g)$.
- The Boyer-Moore algorithm often does the substring search in sub-linear time.
- However, it does not perform better than naive search in the worst case if the pattern does occur in the text.
- An optimization by Gali results in linear runtime across all cases.

Section 4: Complexity and Correctness

1 Computer Science and Algorithms

2 Maze Generation Algorithms

3 String Search Algorithms

4 Complexity and Correctness

Complexity of Algorithms

- Maze algorithm questions:
  - Which maze generation algorithm is faster?
  - What happens if we consider mazes of different sizes or dimensions?
- String search algorithm questions:
  - Which string algorithm is faster (worst, average, best case)?
  - Is there a fastest string search algorithm?
- Instead of measuring execution time (which depends on the speed of the hardware and implementation details), we like to use a more neutral notion of "fast".
- Complexity is an abstract measure of computational effort (time complexity) and memory usage (space complexity) as a function of the problem size.
- Computer science is about analyzing the time and space complexity of algorithms.

Performance and Scaling

size n	$t(n) = 100n\,\mu s$	$t(n) = 7n^2\,\mu s$	$t(n) = 2^n\,\mu s$
1	100 µs	7 µs	2 µs
5	500 µs	175 µs	32 µs
10	1 ms	700 µs	1024 µs
50	5 ms	17.5 ms	13 031.25 d
100	10 ms	70 ms	
1000	100 ms	7 s	
10 000	1 s	700 s	
100 000	10 s	70 000 s	

- Suppose we have three algorithms to choose from (linear, quadratic, exponential).
- With $n = 50$, the exponential algorithm runs for more than 35 years.
- For $n \geq 1000$, the exponential algorithm runs longer than the age of the universe!

Big O Notation (Landau Notation)

Definition (asymptotically bounded)

Let $f, g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be two functions. We say that f is *asymptotically bounded* by g, written as $f \leq_a g$, if and only if there is an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $f(n) \leq g(n)$ for all $n > n_0$.

Definition (Landau Sets)

The three *Landau Sets* $O(g), \Omega(g), \Theta(g)$ are defined as follows:

- $O(g) = \{ f \mid \exists k \in \mathbb{N}. f \leq_a k \cdot g \}$
- $\Omega(g) = \{ f \mid \exists k \in \mathbb{N}. k \cdot g \leq_a f \}$
- $\Theta(g) = O(g) \cap \Omega(g)$

Commonly Used Landau Sets

Landau Set	class name	rank
$O(1)$	constant	1
$O(\log_2(n))$	logarithmic	2
$O(n)$	linear	3
$O(n \log_2(n))$	linear logarithmic	4

Landau Set	class name	rank
$O(n^2)$	quadratic	5
$O(n^k)$	polynomial	6
$O(k^n)$	exponential	7

Theorem (Landau Set Ranking)

The commonly used Landau Sets establish a ranking such that

$$O(1) \subset O(\log_2(n)) \subset O(n) \subset O(n \log_2(n)) \subset O(n^2) \subset O(n^k) \subset O(l^n)$$

for $k > 2$ and $l > 1$.

Landau Set Rules

Theorem (Landau Set Computation Rules)

We have the following computation rules for Landau sets:

- *If* $k \neq 0$ *and* $f \in O(g)$*, then* $(kf) \in O(g)$*.*
- *If* $f_1 \in O(g_1)$ *and* $f_2 \in O(g_2)$*, then* $(f_1 + f_2) \in O(\max\{g_1, g_2\})$*.*
- *If* $f_1 \in O(g_1)$ *and* $f_2 \in O(g_2)$*, then* $(f_1 f_2) \in O(g_1 g_2)$*.*

Examples:

- $f(n) = 42 \Longrightarrow f \in O(1)$
- $f(n) = 26n + 72 \Longrightarrow f \in O(n)$
- $f(n) = 856n^{10} + 123n^3 + 75 \Longrightarrow f \in O(n^{10})$
- $f(n) = 3 \cdot 2^n + 42 \Longrightarrow f \in O(2^n)$

Correctness of Algorithms and Programs

- Questions:
  - Is our algorithm correct?
  - Is our algorithm a total function or a partial function?
  - Is our implementation of the algorithm (our program) correct?
  - What do we mean by "correct"?
  - Will our algorithm or program terminate?
- Computer science is about techniques for proving correctness of programs.
- In situations where correctness proofs are not feasible, computer science is about engineering practices that help to avoid or detect errors.

Partial Correctness and Total Correctness

Definition (partial correctness)

An algorithm starting in a state that satisfies a precondition P is *partially correct with respect to P and Q* if results produced by the algorithm satisfy the postcondition Q. Partial correctness does not require that a result is always produced, i.e., the algorithm may not always terminate.

Definition (total correctness)

An algorithm is *totally correct with respect to P and Q* if it is partially correct with respect to P and Q and it always terminates.

Deterministic Algorithms

Definition (deterministic algorithm)

A *deterministic algorithm* is an algorithm which, given a particular input, will always produce the same output, with the execution always passing through the same sequence of states.

- Some factors making algorithms non-deterministic:
  - external state
  - user input
  - timers
  - random values
  - hardware errors

Randomized Algorithms

Definition (randomized algorithm)

A *randomized algorithm* is an algorithm that employs a degree of randomness as part of its logic.

- A randomized algorithm uses randomness in order to produce its result; it uses randomness as part of the logic of the algorithm.
- A perfect source of randomness is not trivial to obtain on digital computers.
- Random number generators often use algorithms to produce so called pseudo random numbers, sequences of numbers that "look" random but that are not really random (since they are calculated using a deterministic algorithm).

Engineering of Software

- Questions:
  - Can we identify building blocks (data structures, generic algorithms, design pattern) that we can reuse?
  - Can we implement algorithms in such a way that the program code is easy to read and understand?
  - Can we implement algorithms in such a way that we can easily adapt them to different requirements?
- Computer science is about modular designs that are both easier to get right and easier to understand. Finding good software designs often takes time and effort.
- Software engineering is about applying structured approaches to the design, development, maintenance, testing, and evaluation of software.
- The main goal is the production of software with predictable quality and costs.

Part 2: Proofs, Sets, Relations, Functions

5 Proofs

6 Sets

7 Relations

8 Functions

Section 5: Proofs

5 Proofs

6 Sets

7 Relations

8 Functions

Propositions

Definition (proposition)

A *proposition* is a statement that is either true or false.

Examples:

- $1 + 1 = 1$ (false proposition)
- The sum of the integer numbers $1, \ldots, n$ is equal to $\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$. (true proposition)
- "In three years I will have obtained a CS degree." (not a proposition)
- "This sentence is false." (a paradox)

Axioms

Definition (axiom)

An *axiom* is a proposition that is taken to be true.

Definition (Peano axioms for natural numbers)

P1 0 is a natural number.

P2 Every natural number has a successor.

P3 0 is not the successor of any natural number.

P4 If the successor of x equals the successor of y, then x equals y.

P5 If a statement is true for the natural number 0, and if the truth of that statement for a natural number implies its truth for the successor of that number, then the statement is true for every natural number.

Theorems, Lemmata, Corollaries

Definition (theorem, lemma, corollary)

An important true proposition is called a *theorem*. A *lemma* is a preliminary true proposition useful for proving other propositions (usually theorems) and a *corollary* is a true proposition that follows in just a few logical steps from a theorem.

Definition (conjecture)

A proposition for which no proof has been found yet and which is believed to be true is called a *conjecture*.

- There is no clear boundary between what is a theorem, a lemma, or a corollary.

Predicates

- A predicate is a statement that may be true or false depending on the values of its variables. It can be thought of as a function that returns a value that is either true or false.
- Variables appearing in a predicate are often quantified:
  - A predicate is true for all values of a given set of values.
  - A predicate is true for at least one value of a given set of values. (There exists a value such that the predicate is true.)
- There may be multiple quantifiers and they may be combined (but note that the order of the quantifiers matters).
- Example: (Goldbach's conjecture) For every even integer n greater than 2, there exists primes p and q such that $n = p + q$.

Mathematical Notation

Notation	Explanation
$P \wedge Q$	logical and of propositions P and Q
$P \vee Q$	logical or of propositions P and Q
$\neg P$	negation of proposition P
$\forall x \in S.P$	the predicate P holds for all x in the set S
$\exists x \in S.P$	there exists an x in the set S such that the predicate P holds
$P \rightarrow Q$	the statement P implies statement Q
$P \leftrightarrow Q$	the statement P holds if and only if (iff) Q holds

Greek Letters

α	A	alpha	β	B	beta	γ	Γ	gamma
δ	Δ	delta	ε	E	epsilon	ζ	Z	zeta
η	H	eta	θ	Θ	theta	ι	I	iota
κ	K	kappa	λ	Λ	lambda	μ	M	mu
ν	N	nu	ξ	Ξ	xi	o	O	omikron
π	Π	pi	ρ	P	rho	σ	Σ	sigma
τ	T	tau	υ	Υ	upsilon	φ	Φ	phi
χ	X	chi	ψ	Ψ	psi	ω	Ω	omega

Mathematical Proof

Definition (mathematical proof)

A *mathematical proof* of a proposition is a chain of logical deductions from a base set of axioms (or other previously proven propositions) that concludes with the proposition in question.

- Informally, a proof is a method of establishing truth. There are very different ways to establish truth. In computer science, we usually adopt the mathematical notion of a proof.
- There are a certain number of templates for constructing proofs. It is good style to indicate at the beginning of the proof which template is used.

Hints for Writing Proofs

- Proofs often start with notes that can be disorganized, have strange diagrams, obscene words, whatever. But the final proof should be clear and concise.
- Proofs usually begin with the word "Proof" and they end with a delimiter such as □.
- Make it easy to understand your proof. A good proof has a clear structure and it is concise. Turning an initial proof into a concise proof takes time and patience.
- Introduce notation carefully. Good notation can make a proof easy to follow (and bad notation can achieve the opposite effect).
- Revise your proof and simplify it. A good proof has been written multiple times.

Prove an Implication by Derivation

- An implication is a proposition of the form "If P, then Q", or $P \to Q$.
- One way to prove such an implication is by a derivation where you start with P and stepwise derive Q from it.
- In each step, you apply theorems (or lemmas or corollaries) that have already been proven to be true.
- Template:

  Assume P. Then, ... Therefore ... [...] This finally leads to Q. $\square$

Prove an Implication by its Contrapositive

- An implication is a proposition of the form "If P, then Q", or $P \to Q$.
- Such an implication is logically equivalent to its *contrapositive*, $\neg Q \to \neg P$.
- Proving the contrapositive is sometimes easier than proving the original statement.
- Template:

  Proof. We prove the contrapositive, if $\neg Q$, then $\neg P$. We assume $\neg Q$. Then, ... Therefore ... [...] This finally leads to $\neg P$. $\square$

Prove an "if and only if" by two Implications

- A statement of the form "P if and only if Q", $P \leftrightarrow Q$, is equivalent to the two statements "P implies Q" and "Q implies P".
- Split your proof into two parts, the first part proving $P \to Q$ and the second part proving $Q \to P$.
- Template:

  Proof. We prove P implies Q and vice-versa.

  First, we show P implies Q. Assume P. Then, ... Therefore ... [...] This finally leads to Q.

  Now we show Q implies P. Assume Q. Then, ... Therefore ... [...] This finally leads to P. □

Prove an "if and only if" by a Chain of "if and only if"s

- A statement of the form "P if and only if Q" can be shown to hold by constructing a chain of "if and only if" equivalence implications.
- Constructing this kind of proof is often harder then proving two implications, but the result can be short and elegant.
- Template:

  Proof. We construct a proof by a chain of if-and-only-if implications.

  P holds if and only if P' holds, which is equivalent to [...], which is equivalent to Q. □

Breaking a Proof into Cases

- It is sometimes useful to break a complicated statement P into several cases that are proven separately.
- Different proof techniques may be used for the different cases.
- It is necessary to ensure that the cases cover the complete statement P.
- Template:

  Proof. We prove P by considering the cases $c_1, \ldots, c_N$.

  Case 1: Suppose c_1. Prove of P for c_1.

  ...

  Case N: Suppose c_N. Prove of P for c_N.

  Since P holds for all cases $c_1, \ldots c_N$, the statement P holds. □

Proof by Contradiction

- A proof by contradiction for a statement P shows that if the statement were false, then some false fact would be true.
- Starting from $\neg P$, a series of derivations is used to arrive at a statement that contradicts something that has already been shown to be true or which is an axiom.
- Template:

  Proof. We prove P by contradiction.

  Assume $\neg P$ is true. Then ... Therefore ... [...] This is a contradiction. Thus, P must be true. $\square$

Proof by Induction

- If we have to prove a statement P on nonnegative integers (or more generally an inductively defined well-ordered infinite set), we can use the induction principle.
- We first prove that P is true for the "lowest" element in the set (the base case).
- Next we prove that if P holds for a nonnegative integer n, then the statement P holds for $n + 1$ (induction step).
- Since we can apply the induction step m times, starting with the base, we have shown that P is true for arbitrary nonnegative integers m.
- Template:

  Proof. We prove P by induction.

  Base case: We show that $P(0)$ is true. [...]

  Induction step: Assume $P(n)$ is true. Then, ... This proves that $P(n + 1)$ holds.

Summary of Proof Techniques

Statement	**Techniques**	**Description**
$A \to Z$	$A \to B \to C \to \ldots \to Z$	proof by derivation
	$\neg Z \to \neg A$	proof by contrapositive
$A \leftrightarrow Z$	$A \leftrightarrow B \leftrightarrow C \leftrightarrow \ldots \leftrightarrow Z$	chain of equivalences
	$A \to Z \wedge Z \to A$	proof by two implications
A	$\neg A \to B \to C \to \ldots \to \bot$	proof by contradiction
$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}.A(n)$	$A(0) \wedge (A(n) \to A(n+1))$	proof by induction

Section 6: Sets

5 Proofs

6 Sets

7 Relations

8 Functions

Sets

- Informally, a *set* is a well-defined collection of distinct objects. The elements of the collection can be anything we like the set to contain, including other sets.
- In modern mathematics, sets are defined using axiomatic set theory, but for us the informal definition above is sufficient.
- Sets can be defined by
  - listing all elements in curly braces, e.g., $\{ a, b, c \}$,
  - describing all objects using a predicate P, e.g., $\{ x \mid x \geq 0 \wedge x < 2^8 \}$,
  - stating element-hood using some other statements.
- A set has no order of the elements and every element appears only once.
- The two notations $\{ a, b, c \}$ and $\{ b, a, a, c \}$ are different *representations* of the same set.

Basic Relations between Sets

Definition (basic relations between sets)

Lets A and B be two sets. We define the following relations between sets:

1. $(A \equiv B) :\leftrightarrow (\forall x. x \in A \leftrightarrow x \in B)$ (set equality)
2. $(A \subseteq B) :\leftrightarrow (\forall x. x \in A \rightarrow x \in B)$ (subset)
3. $(A \subset B) :\leftrightarrow (A \subseteq B) \wedge (A \not\equiv B)$ (proper subset)
4. $(A \supseteq B) :\leftrightarrow (\forall x. x \in B \rightarrow x \in A)$ (superset)
5. $(A \supset B) :\leftrightarrow (A \supseteq B) \wedge (A \not\equiv B)$ (proper superset)

- Obviously:
  - $(A \subseteq B) \wedge (B \subseteq A) \rightarrow (A \equiv B)$
  - $(A \subseteq B) \leftrightarrow (B \supseteq A)$

Operations on Sets 1/2

Definition (set union)

The *union* of two sets A and B is defined as $A \cup B = \{ x \mid x \in A \vee x \in B \}$.

Definition (set intersection)

The *intersection* of two sets A and B is defined as $A \cap B = \{ x \mid x \in A \wedge x \in B \}$.

Definition (set difference)

The *difference* of two sets A and B is defined as $A \setminus B = \{ x \mid x \in A \wedge x \notin B \}$.

Operations on Sets 2/2

Definition (power set)

The *power set* $\mathcal{P}(A)$ of a set A is the set of all subsets S of A, including the empty set and A itself. Formally, $\mathcal{P}(A) = \{ S \mid S \subseteq A \}$.

Definition (cartesian product)

The *cartesian product* of the sets $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ is defined as $X_1 \times \ldots \times X_n = \{ (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mid \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}. x_i \in X_i \}$.

Cardinality of Sets

Definition (cardinality)

If A is a finite set, the *cardinality* of A, written as $|A|$, is the number of elements in A.

Definition (countably infinite)

A set A is *countably infinite* if and only if there is a bijective function $f : A \to \mathbb{N}$.

Definition (countable)

A set A is *countable* if and only if it is finite or countably infinite.

Section 7: Relations

5 Proofs

6 Sets

7 Relations

8 Functions

Relations

Definition (relation)

A *relation* R over the sets $X_1, \ldots, X_k$ is a subset of their Cartesian product, written $R \subseteq X_1 \times \ldots \times X_k$.

- Relations are classified according to the number of sets in the defining Cartesian product:
  - A unary relation is defined over a single set X
  - A binary relation is defined over $X_1 \times X_2$
  - A ternary relation is defined over $X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3$
  - A k-ary relation is defined over $X_1 \times \ldots \times X_k$

Binary Relations

Definition (binary relation)

A *binary relation* $R \subseteq A \times B$ consists of a set A, called the *domain* of R, a set B, called the *codomain* of R, and a subset of $A \times B$ called the *graph* of R.

Definition (inverse of a binary relation)

The *inverse* of a binary relation $R \subseteq A \times B$ is the relation $R^{-1} \subseteq B \times A$ defined by the rule

$$b\, R^{-1}\, a \leftrightarrow a\, R\, b.$$

- For $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, we often write $a\, R\, b$ to indicate that $(a, b) \in R$.
- The notation $a\, R\, b$ is called *infix notation* while the notation $R(a, b)$ is called the *prefix notation*. For binary relations, we commonly use the infix notation.

Image and Range of Binary Relations

Definition (image of a binary relation)

The *image* of a binary relation $R \subseteq A \times B$, is the set of elements of the codomain B of R that are related to some element in A.

Definition (range of a binary relation)

The *range* of a binary relation $R \subseteq A \times B$ is the set of elements of the domain A of R that relate to at least one element in B.

Properties of Binary Relations (Endorelations)

Definition

A relation $R \subseteq A \times A$ is called

- *reflexive* iff $\forall a \in A.(a, a) \in R$
- *irreflexive* iff $\forall a \in A.(a, a) \notin R$
- *symmetric* iff $\forall a, b \in A.(a, b) \in R \rightarrow (b, a) \in R$
- *asymmetric* iff $\forall a, b \in A.(a, b) \in R \rightarrow (b, a) \notin R$
- *antisymmetric* iff $\forall a, b \in A.((a, b) \in R \wedge (b, a) \in R) \rightarrow a = b$
- *transitive* iff $\forall a, b, c \in A.((a, b) \in R \wedge (b, c) \in R) \rightarrow (a, c) \in R$
- *connected* iff $\forall a, b \in A.(a, b) \in R \vee (b, a) \in R \vee a = b$
- *serial* iff $\forall a \in A.\exists b \in A.(a, b) \in R$

Equivalence, Partial Order, and Strict Partial Order

Definition (equivalence relation)

A relation $R \subseteq A \times A$ is called an *equivalence relation* on A if and only if R is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

Definition (partial order and strict partial order)

A relation $R \subseteq A \times A$ is called a *partial order* on A if and only if R is reflexive, antisymmetric, and transitive on A. The relation R is called a *strict partial order* on A if and only if it is irreflexive, asymmetric and transitive on A.

Definition (linear order)

A partial order R is called a *linear order* on A if and only if all elements in A are comparable, i.e., the partial order is total.

Summary of Properties of Binary Relations

Let $\sim$ be a binary relation over $A \times A$ and let $a, b, c \in A$ arbitrary.

property	$\equiv$	$\preceq$	$\prec$	definition	$=$	$\leq$	$<$
reflexive	✓	✓		$a \sim a$	✓	✓	
irreflexive			✓	$a \not\sim a$			✓
symmetric	✓			$a \sim b \to b \sim a$	✓		
asymmetric			✓	$a \sim b \to b \not\sim a$			✓
antisymmetric		✓		$a \sim b \wedge b \sim a \to a = b$		✓	
transitive	✓	✓	✓	$a \sim b \wedge b \sim c \to a \sim c$	✓	✓	✓

$\equiv$ equivalence relation, $\preceq$ partial order, $\prec$ strict partial order

Section 8: Functions

5 Proofs

6 Sets

7 Relations

8 Functions

Functions

Definition (partial function)

A relation $f \subseteq X \times Y$ is called a *partial function* if and only if for all $x \in X$ there is *at most one* $y \in Y$ with $(x, y) \in f$. We call a partial function f undefined at $x \in X$ if and only if $(x, y) \notin f$ for all $y \in Y$.

Definition (total function)

A relation $f \subseteq X \times Y$ is called a *total function* if and only if for all $x \in X$ there is *exactly one* $y \in Y$ with $(x, y) \in f$.

Function Properties

Definition (injective function)

A function $f : X \to Y$ is called *injective* if every element of the codomain Y is mapped to by *at most one* element of the domain X: $\forall x, y \in X. f(x) = f(y) \to x = y$

Definition (surjective function)

A function $f : X \to Y$ is called *surjective* if every element of the codomain Y is mapped to by *at least one* element of the domain X: $\forall y \in Y. \exists x \in X. f(x) = y$

Definition (bijective function)

A function $f : X \to Y$ is called *bijective* if every element of the codomain Y is mapped to by *exactly one* element of the domain X. (That is, the function is both injective and surjective.)

Operations on Functions

Definition (function composition)

Given two functions $f : A \to B$ and $g : B \to C$, the *composition* of g with f is defined as the function $g \circ f : A \to C$ with $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$.

Definition (function restriction)

Let f be a function $f : A \to B$ and $C \subseteq A$. Then we call the function $f|_C = \{(c, b) \in f | c \in C\}$ the restriction of f to C.

Lambda Notation of Functions

- It is sometimes not necessary to give a function a name.
- A function definition of the form $\{ (x, y) \in X \times Y \mid y = E \}$, where E is an expression (usually involving x), can be written in a shorter lambda notation as $\lambda x \in X.E$.
- Examples:
  - $\lambda n \in \mathbb{N}.n$ (identity function for natural numbers)
  - $\lambda x \in \mathbb{N}.x^2$ $(f(x) = x^2)$
  - $\lambda(x, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}.x + y$ (addition of natural numbers)
- Lambda calculus is a formal system for expressing computation based on function abstraction and application using variable bindings and substitutions.
- Lambda calculus is the foundation of functional programming languages like Haskell.

Currying

- Lambda calculus uses only functions that take a single argument. This is possible since lambda calculus allows functions as arguments and results.
- A function that takes two arguments can be converted into a function that takes the first argument as input and which returns a function that takes the second argument as input.
- This method of converting functions with multiple arguments into a sequence of functions with a single argument is called currying.
- The term currying is a reference to the mathematician Haskell Curry.

Part 3: Data Representation

9 Natural Numbers

10 Integer Numbers

11 Rational and Real Numbers

12 Floating Point Numbers

13 International System of Units

14 Characters and Strings

15 Date and Time

Numbers can be confusing. . .

- There are only 10 kinds of people in the world: Those who understand binary and those who don't.
- Q: How easy is it to count in binary?
  A: It's as easy as 01 10 11.
- A Roman walks into the bar, holds up two fingers, and says, "Five beers, please."
- Q: Why do mathematicians confuse Halloween and Christmas?
  A: Because 31 Oct = 25 Dec.

Number Systems in Mathematics

- Numbers can be classified into sets, called number systems, such as the natural numbers, the integer numbers, or the real numbers.

Symbol	Name	Description
$\mathbb{N}$	Natural	0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ...
$\mathbb{Z}$	Integer	..., -4, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ...
$\mathbb{Q}$	Rational	$\frac{a}{b}$ were $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \neq 0$
$\mathbb{R}$	Real	Limits of a convergent sequences of rational numbers
$\mathbb{C}$	Complex	$a + bi$ where $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $i = \sqrt{-1}$

- Numbers should be distinguished from numerals, the symbols used to represent numbers. A single number can have many different representations.

Section 9: Natural Numbers

9 Natural Numbers

10 Integer Numbers

11 Rational and Real Numbers

12 Floating Point Numbers

13 International System of Units

14 Characters and Strings

15 Date and Time

Numeral Systems for Natural Numbers

- Natural numbers can be represented using different bases. We commonly use decimal (base 10) number representations in everyday life.
- In computer science, we also frequently use binary (base 2), octal (base 8), and hexadecimal (base 16) number representations.
- In general, natural numbers represented in the base b system are of the form:

$$(a_n a_{n-1} \cdots a_1 a_0)_b = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k b^k$$

hex	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	a	b	c	d	e	f	10	11	12
dec	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
oct	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	20	21	22
bin	0	1	10	11	100	101	110	111	1000	1001	1010	1011	1100	1101	1110	1111	10000	10001	10010

Natural Numbers Literals

- Prefix conventions are used to indicate the base of number literals:

prefix	example	meaning	description
	42	42_{10}	decimal number
0x	0x42	$42_{16} = 66_{10}$	hexadecimal number
0o	0o42	$42_{8} = 34_{10}$	octal number
0b	0b1000010	$1000010_{2} = 42_{10}$	binary number
0	042	$42_{8} = 34_{10}$	octal number (old)

- The old octal number prefix 0 is gradually replaced by the more sensible prefix 0o but this transition will take time.
- Until then, beware that 42 and 042 may not represent the same number!

Natural Numbers with Fixed Precision

- Computer systems often work internally with finite subsets of natural numbers.
- The number of bits used for the binary representation defines the size of the subset.

bits	name	range (decimal)	range (hexadecimal)
4	nibble	0-15	0x0-0xf
8	byte, octet, uint8	0-255	0x0-0xff
16	uint16	0-65 535	0x0-0xffff
32	uint32	0-4 294 967 295	0x0-0xffffffff
64	uint64	0-18 446 744 073 709 551 615	0x0-0xffffffffffffffff

- Using (almost) arbitrary precision numbers is possible but usually slower.

Section 10: Integer Numbers

9 Natural Numbers

10 Integer Numbers

11 Rational and Real Numbers

12 Floating Point Numbers

13 International System of Units

14 Characters and Strings

15 Date and Time

Integer Numbers

- Integer numbers can be negative but surprisingly there are not "more" integer numbers than natural numbers (even though integer numbers range from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ while natural numbers only range from 0 to $+\infty$).
- This can be seen by writing integer numbers in the order 0, 1, -1, 2, -2, ..., i.e., by defining a bijective function $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{N}$ (and the inverse function $f^{-1} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}$):

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2x & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ -2x - 1 & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases} \qquad f^{-1}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{2} & \text{if } x \text{ is even} \\ -\frac{x+1}{2} & \text{if } x \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

- We could (in principle) represent integer numbers by implementing this bijection to natural numbers. But there are more efficient ways to implement integer numbers if we assume that we use a fixed precision anyway.

One's Complement Fixed Integer Numbers (b-1 complement)

- We have a fixed number space with n digits and base b to represent integer numbers, that is, we can distinguish at most b^n different integers.
- Lets represent positive numbers in the usual way.
- To represent negative numbers, we invert the absolute value $(a_n a_{n-1} \cdots a_1 a_0)_b$ by calculating $(a'_n a'_{n-1} \cdots a'_1 a'_0)_b$ with $a'_i = (b-1) - a_i$.
- Example: $b = 2, n = 4 : 5_{10} = 0101_2, -5_{10} = 1010_2$

```
bin: 0000 0001 0010 0011 0100 0101 0110 0111 1000 1001 1010 1011 1100 1101 1110 1111
dec:    0    1    2    3    4    5    6    7   -7   -6   -5   -4   -3   -2   -1   -0
```

- Note that this gives us +0 and -0, i.e., we only represent $b^n - 1$ different integers.
- Negative binary numbers always have the most significant bit set to 1.

Two's Complement Fixed Integer Numbers (b complement)

- Like before, we assume a fixed number space with n digits and a base b to represent integer numbers, that is, we can distinguish at most b^n different integers.
- Lets again represent positive numbers in the usual way.
- To represent negative numbers, we invert the absolute value $(a_n a_{n-1} \cdots a_1 a_0)_b$ by calculating $(a'_n a'_{n-1} \cdots a'_1 a'_0)_b$ with $a'_i = (b-1) - a_i$ and adding 1 to it.
- Example: $b = 2, n = 4 : 5_{10} = 0101_2, -5_{10} = 1011_2$

```
bin: 0000 0001 0010 0011 0100 0101 0110 0111 1000 1001 1010 1011 1100 1101 1110 1111
dec:    0    1    2    3    4    5    6    7   -8   -7   -6   -5   -4   -3   -2   -1
```

- This representation simplifies the implementation of arithmetic operations.
- Negative binary numbers always have the most significant bit set to 1.

Two's Complement Fixed Integer Number Ranges

- Most computers these days use the two's complement internally.
- The number of bits available defines the ranges we can use.

bits	name	range (decimal)
8	int8	−128 to 127
16	int16	−32 768 to 32 767
32	int32	−2 147 483 648 to 2 147 483 647
64	int64	−9 223 372 036 854 775 808 to 9 223 372 036 854 775 807

- Be careful if your arithmetic expressions overflows/underflows the range!

Section 11: Rational and Real Numbers

9 Natural Numbers

10 Integer Numbers

11 Rational and Real Numbers

12 Floating Point Numbers

13 International System of Units

14 Characters and Strings

15 Date and Time

Rational Numbers

- Computer systems usually do not natively represent rational numbers, i.e., they cannot compute with rational numbers at the hardware level.
- Software can, of course, implement rational number data types by representing the numerator and the denominator as integer numbers internally and keeping them in the reduced form.
- Example using Haskell (execution prints `5 % 6`):

```
import Data.Ratio
main = print $ 1%2 + 1%3
```

Real Numbers

- Computer systems usually do not natively represent real numbers, i.e., they cannot compute with real numbers at the hardware level.
- The primary reason is that real numbers like the result of $\frac{1}{7}$ or numbers like π have by definition not a finite representation.
- So the best we can do is to have a finite approximation...
- Since all we have are approximations of real numbers, we *always* make rounding errors when we use these approximations. If we are not extremely cautious, these rounding errors can *accumulate* badly.
- Numeric algorithms can be analyzed according to how good or bad they propagate rounding errors, leading to the notion of *numeric stability*.

Section 12: Floating Point Numbers

9 Natural Numbers

10 Integer Numbers

11 Rational and Real Numbers

12 Floating Point Numbers

13 International System of Units

14 Characters and Strings

15 Date and Time

Floating Point Numbers

- Floating point numbers are useful in situations where a large range of numbers must be represented with fixed size storage for the numbers.
- The general notation of a (normalized) base b floating point number with precision p is

$$s \cdot d_0.d_1 d_2 \dots d_{p-1} \cdot b^e = s \cdot \left(\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} d_k b^{-k} \right) \cdot b^e$$

where b is the base, e is the exponent, $d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{p-1}$ are digits of the mantissa with $d_i \in \{0, \dots, b-1\}$ for $i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$, $s \in \{1, -1\}$ is the sign, and p is the precision.

Floating Point Number Normalization

- Floating point numbers are usually normalized such that d_0 is in the range $\{1, \ldots, b-1\}$, except when the number is zero.
- Normalization must be checked and restored after each arithmetic operation since the operation may denormalize the number.
- When using the base $b = 2$, normalization implies that the first digit d_0 is always 1 (unless the number is 0). Hence, it is not necessary to store d_0 and instead the mantissa can be extended by one additional bit.
- Floating point numbers are at best an approximation of a real number due to their limited precision.
- Calculations involving floating point numbers usually do not lead to precise results since rounding must be used to match the result into the floating point format.

IEEE 754 Floating Point Formats

precision	single (float)	double	quad
sign	1 bit	1 bit	1 bit
exponent	8 bit	11 bit	15 bit
exponent range	[-126,...,127]	[-1022,...,1023]	[-16382,...,16383]
exponent bias	127	1023	16383
mantissa	23 bit	52 bit	112 bit
total size	32 bit	64 bit	128 bit
decimal digits	≈ 7.2	≈ 15.9	≈ 34.0

- IEEE 754 is a widely implemented standard for floating point numbers.
- IEEE 754 floating point numbers use the base $b = 2$ and as a consequence decimal numbers such as $1 \cdot 10^{-1}$ cannot be represented precisely.

IEEE 754 Exceptions and Special Values

- The standard defines five exceptions, some of them lead to special values:
  1. Invalid operation: returns not a number (nan)
  2. Division by zero: returns $\pm$infinity (inf)
  3. Overflow: returns $\pm$infinity (inf)
  4. Underflow: depends on the operating mode
  5. Inexact: returns rounded result by default
- Computations may continue if they did produce a special value like nan or inf.
- Hence, it is important to check whether a calculation resulted in a value at all.

Floating Point Surprises

- Any floating point computation should be treated with the utmost suspicion unless you can argue how accurate it is. [Alan Mycroft, Cambridge]
- Floating point arithmetic almost always involves rounding errors and these errors can badly aggregate.
- It is possible to "loose" the reasonably precise digits and to continue calculation with the remaining rather imprecise digits.
- Comparisons to floating point constants may not be "exact" and as a consequence loops may not end where they are expected to end.

Section 13: International System of Units

9 Natural Numbers

10 Integer Numbers

11 Rational and Real Numbers

12 Floating Point Numbers

13 International System of Units

14 Characters and Strings

15 Date and Time

Importance of Units and Unit Prefixes

- Most numbers we encounter in practice have associated units. It is important to be very explicit about the units used.
  - NASA lost a Mars climate orbiter (worth $125 million) in 1999 due to a unit conversion error.
  - An Air Canada plane ran out of fuel in the middle of a flight in 1983 due to a fuel calculation error while switching to the metric system.
- There is an International System of Units (SI Units) to help you...

- Always be explicit about units.
- And always be clear about the unit prefixes.

SI Base Units

Unit	Symbol	Description
metre	m	The distance travelled by light in a vacuum in a certain fraction of a second.
kilogram	kg	The mass of the international prototype kilogram.
second	s	The duration of a number of periods of the radiation of the caesium-133 atom.
ampere	A	The constant electric current which would produce a certain force between two conductors.
kelvin	K	A fraction of the thermodynamic temperature of the triple point of water.
mole	mol	The amount of substance of a system which contains atoms corresponding to a certain mass of carbon-12.
candela	cd	The luminous intensity of a source that emits monochromatic radiation.

SI Derived Units

- Many important units can be derived from the base units. Some have special names, others are simply defined by a formula over their base units. Some examples:

Name	Symbol	Definition	Description
herz	Hz	s^{-1}	frequency
newton	N	$\mathrm{kg\,m\,s}^{-1}$	force
watt	W	$\mathrm{kg\,m}^2\,\mathrm{s}^{-3}$	power
volt	V	$\mathrm{kg\,m}^2\,\mathrm{s}^{-3}\,\mathrm{A}^{-1}$	voltage
ohm	Ω	$\mathrm{kg\,m}^2\,\mathrm{s}^{-3}\,\mathrm{A}^{-2}$	resistance
velocity		$\mathrm{m\,s}^{-1}$	speed

Metric Prefixes (International System of Units)

Name	Symbol	Base 10	Base 1000	Value
kilo	k	10^3	1000^1	1000
mega	M	10^6	1000^2	1 000 000
giga	G	10^9	1000^3	1 000 000 000
tera	T	10^{12}	1000^4	1 000 000 000 000
peta	P	10^{15}	1000^5	1 000 000 000 000 000
exa	E	10^{18}	1000^6	1 000 000 000 000 000 000
zetta	ζ	10^{21}	1000^7	1 000 000 000 000 000 000 000
yotta	Y	10^{24}	1000^8	1 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000

Metric Prefixes (International System of Units)

Name	Symbol	Base 10	Base 1000	Value
milli	m	10^{-3}	1000^{-1}	0.001
micro	μ	10^{-6}	1000^{-2}	0.000 001
nano	n	10^{-9}	1000^{-3}	0.000 000 001
pico	p	10^{-12}	1000^{-4}	0.000 000 000 001
femto	f	10^{-15}	1000^{-5}	0.000 000 000 000 001
atto	a	10^{-18}	1000^{-6}	0.000 000 000 000 000 001
zepto	z	10^{-21}	1000^{-7}	0.000 000 000 000 000 000 001
yocto	y	10^{-24}	1000^{-8}	0.000 000 000 000 000 000 000 001

Binary Prefixes

Name	Symbol	Base 2	Base 1024	Value
kibi	Ki	2^{10}	1024^{1}	1024
mebi	Mi	2^{20}	1024^{2}	1 048 576
gibi	Gi	2^{30}	1024^{3}	1 073 741 824
tebi	Ti	2^{40}	1024^{4}	1 099 511 627 776
pebi	Pi	2^{50}	1024^{5}	1 125 899 906 842 624
exbi	Ei	2^{60}	1024^{6}	1 152 921 504 606 846 976
zebi	Zi	2^{70}	1024^{7}	1 180 591 620 717 411 303 424
yobi	Yi	2^{80}	1024^{8}	1 208 925 819 614 629 174 706 176

Section 14: Characters and Strings

9 Natural Numbers

10 Integer Numbers

11 Rational and Real Numbers

12 Floating Point Numbers

13 International System of Units

14 Characters and Strings

15 Date and Time

Characters and Character Encoding

- A *character* is a unit of information that roughly corresponds to a grapheme, grapheme-like unit, or symbol, such as in an alphabet or syllabary in the written form of a natural language.
- Examples of characters include letters, numerical digits, common punctuation marks, and whitespace.
- Characters also includes control characters, which do not correspond to symbols in a particular natural language, but instead encode bits of information used to control information flow or presentation.
- A *character encoding* is used to represent a set of characters by some kind of encoding system. A single character can be encoded in different ways.

ASCII Characters and Encoding

- The American Standard Code for Information Interchange (ASCII) is a still widely used character encoding standard.
- Traditionally, ASCII encodes 128 specified characters into seven-bit natural numbers. Extended ASCII encodes the 128 specified characters into eight-bit natural numbers. This makes code points available for additional characters.
- ISO 8859 is a family of extended ASCII codes that support different language requirements, for example:
  - ISO 8859-1 adds characters for the most common Western European languages
  - ISO 8859-2 adds characters for the most common Eastern European languages
  - ISO 8859-5 adds characters for Cyrillic languages
- Unfortunately, ISO 8859 code points overlap, making it difficult to represent texts requiring several different character sets.

ASCII Characters and Code Points (decimal)

0	nul	1	soh	2	stx	3	etx	4	eot	5	enq	6	ack	7	bel
8	bs	9	ht	10	nl	11	vt	12	np	13	cr	14	so	15	si
16	dle	17	dc1	18	dc2	19	dc3	20	dc4	21	nak	22	syn	23	etb
24	can	25	em	26	sub	27	esc	28	fs	29	gs	30	rs	31	us
32	sp	33	!	34	"	35	#	36	$	37	%	38	&	39	’
40	(	41	)	42	*	43	+	44	,	45	-	46	.	47	/
48	0	49	1	50	2	51	3	52	4	53	5	54	6	55	7
56	8	57	9	58	:	59	;	60	<	61	=	62	>	63	?
64	@	65	A	66	B	67	C	68	D	69	E	70	F	71	G
72	H	73	I	74	J	75	K	76	L	77	M	78	N	79	O
80	P	81	Q	82	R	83	S	84	T	85	U	86	V	87	W
88	X	89	Y	90	Z	91	[	92	\	93	]	94	^	95	_
96	‘	97	a	98	b	99	c	100	d	101	e	102	f	103	g
104	h	105	i	106	j	107	k	108	l	109	m	110	n	111	o
112	p	113	q	114	r	115	s	116	t	117	u	118	v	119	w
120	x	121	y	122	z	123	{	124	|	125	}	126	~	127	del

Universal Coded Character Set and Unicode

- The Universal Coded Character Set (UCS) is a standard set of characters defined and maintained by the International Organization of Standardization (ISO).
- The Unicode Consortium produces industry standards based on the UCS for the encoding. Unicode 15.0 (published Sep. 2022) defines 149 186 characters, each identified by an unambiguous name and an integer number called its code point.
- The overall code point space is divided into 17 planes where each plane has $2^{16} = 65536$ code points. The Basic Multilingual Plane (plane 0) contains characters of almost all modern languages, and a large number of symbols.
- Unicode can be implemented using different character encodings. The UTF-32 encoding encodes character code points directly into 32-bit numbers (fixed length encoding). While simple, an ASCII text of size n becomes a UTF-32 text of size $4n$.

Unicode Transformation Format UTF-8

bytes	cp bits	first cp	last cp	byte 1	byte 2	bytes 3	byte 4
1	7	U+0000	U+007F	0xxxxxxx			
2	11	U+0080	U+07FF	110xxxxx	10xxxxxx		
3	16	U+0800	U+FFFF	1110xxxx	10xxxxxx	10xxxxxx	
4	21	U+10000	U+10FFFF	11110xxx	10xxxxxx	10xxxxxx	10xxxxxx

- A variable-length encoding of Unicode code points (cp) that turns seven-bit ASCII code points into valid UTF-8 code points.
- The € symbol with the code point U+20AC (0010 0000 1010 1100 in binary notation) encodes as 0xE282AC (11100010 10000010 10101100 in binary notation).
- Note that this makes the € more expensive than the $. ☺

Strings

- Let Σ be a non-empty finite set of symbols (or characters), called the alphabet.
- A string (or word) over Σ is any finite sequence of symbols from Σ, including (of course) the empty sequence.
- Typical operations on strings are length(), concatenation(), reverse(), ...
- There are different ways to store strings internally. Two common approaches are:
  - The sequence is *null-terminated*, i.e., the characters of the string are followed by a special NUL character.
  - The sequence is *length-prefixed*, i.e., a natural number indicating the length of the string is stored in front of the characters.
- In some programming languages, you need to know how strings are stored, in other languages you happily leave the details to the language implementation.

Section 15: Date and Time

9 Natural Numbers

10 Integer Numbers

11 Rational and Real Numbers

12 Floating Point Numbers

13 International System of Units

14 Characters and Strings

15 Date and Time

System Time and Clocks

- Computer systems usually maintain a notion of *system time*. The term system time indicates that two different systems usually have a different notion of system time.
- System time is measured by a *system clock*, which is typically implemented as a simple count of the number of ticks (periodic timer interrupts) that have transpired since some arbitrary starting date, called the epoch.
- Since internal counting mechanisms are not very precise, systems often exchange time information with other systems that have "better" clocks or sources of time in order to converge their notions of time.
- Time is sometimes used to order events, due to its monotonic nature.
- In distributed systems, this has its limitations and therefore the notion of logical clocks has been invented. (Logical clocks do not measure time, they only help to order events.)

Calendar Time

- System time can be converted into *calendar time*, a reference to a particular time represented within a calendar system.
- A popular calendar is the *Gregorian calendar*, which maps a time reference into a year, a month within the year, and a day within a month.
- The Gregorian calendar was introduced by Pope Gregory XIII in October 1582.
- The Coordinated Universal Time (UTC) is the primary time standard by which the world regulates clocks and time.
- Due to the rotation of the earth, days start and end at different moments. This is reflected by the notion of a *time zone*, which is essentially an offset to UTC.
- The number of time zones is not static and time zones change occasionally.

ISO 8601 Date and Time Formats

- Different parts of the world use different formats to write down a calendar time, which can easily cause confusion.
- The ISO 8601 standard defines an unambiguous notation for calendar time.
- ISO 8601 in addition defines formats for durations and time intervals.

name	format	example
date	yyyy-mm-dd	2017-06-13
time	hh:mm:ss	15:22:36
date and time	yyyy-mm-ddThh:mm:ss[±hh:mm]	2017-06-13T15:22:36+02:00
date and time	yyyy-mm-ddThh:mm:ss[±hh:mm]	2017-06-13T13:22:36+00:00
date and time	yyyy-mm-ddThh:mm:ssZ	2017-06-13T13:22:36Z
date and week	yyyy-Www	2017-W24

Part 4: Boolean Algebra

16 Boolean Variables and Elementary Functions

17 Boolean Functions and Formulas

18 Boolean Algebra Equivalence Laws

19 Conjunctive and Disjunctive Normal Forms

20 Complexity of Boolean Formulas

Section 16: Boolean Variables and Elementary Functions

16 Boolean Variables and Elementary Functions

17 Boolean Functions and Formulas

18 Boolean Algebra Equivalence Laws

19 Conjunctive and Disjunctive Normal Forms

20 Complexity of Boolean Formulas

Boolean Variables

- Boolean algebra describes objects that can take only one of two values.
- The values may be different voltage levels $\{0, V^+\}$ or special symbols $\{F, T\}$ or simply the digits $\{0, 1\}$.
- In the following, we use the notation $\mathbb{B} = \{0, 1\}$.
- In artificial intelligence, such objects are often called *propositions* and they are either *true* or *false*.
- In mathematics, the objects are called *Boolean variables* and we use the symbols $x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots$ for them (sometimes also $a, b, c, \ldots$).
- The main purpose of Boolean logic is to describe (or design) interdependencies between Boolean variables.

Interpretation of Boolean Variables

Definition (Boolean variables)

A Boolean variable x_i with $i \geq 1$ is an object that can take on one of the two values 0 or 1. The set of all Boolean variables is $\mathcal{X} = \{ x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots \}$.

Definition (Interpretation)

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{X}$. An *interpretation* $\mathcal{I}$ of $\mathcal{D}$ is a function $\mathcal{I} : \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{B}$.

- The set $\mathcal{X}$ is very large. It is often sufficient to work with a suitable subset $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathcal{X}$.
- An interpretation assigns to every Boolean variable a value.
- An interpretation is also called a truth value assignment.

Boolean $\wedge$ Function (and)

x	y	$x \wedge y$
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

- The logical *and* ($\wedge$) can be viewed as a function mapping two Boolean values to a Boolean value:

$$\wedge : \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B}$$

- A truth table defines a Boolean operation (or function) by listing the result for all possible arguments.

- Many programming languages like C or C++ (or Rust or Haskell) use the operator `&&` to represent the $\wedge$ function. (Python uses the `and` keyword.)

Boolean $\vee$ Function (or)

x	y	$x \vee y$
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	1

- The logical *or* ($\vee$) can be viewed as a function mapping two Boolean values to a Boolean value:

$$\vee : \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B}$$

- Each row in the truth table corresponds to one interpretation.
- A truth table simply lists all possible interpretations.

- Many programming languages like C or C++ (or Rust or Haskell) use the operator `||` to represent the $\vee$ function. (Python uses the `or` keyword.)

Boolean $\neg$ Function (not)

x	$\neg x$
0	1
1	0

- The logical *not* ($\neg$) can be viewed as a unary function mapping a Boolean value to a Boolean value:

$$\neg : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B}$$

- The $\neg$ function applied to x is also written as $\overline{x}$.

- Many programming languages like C or C++ (or Rust) use the operator `!` to represent the $\neg$ function. (Python uses the `not` keyword while Haskell uses the function `not :: Bool -> Bool`).

Boolean $\to$ Function (implies)

x	y	$x \to y$
0	0	1
0	1	1
1	0	0
1	1	1

- The logical *implication* ($\to$) can be viewed as a function mapping two Boolean values to a Boolean value:

$$\to : \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B}$$

- The implication represents statements of the form "if x then y" (where x is called the precondition and y the consequence).

- The logical implication is often confusing to ordinary mortals. A logical implication is false only if the precondition is true, but the consequence it asserts is false.
- The claim "if cats eat dogs, then the sun shines" is logically true.

Boolean $\leftrightarrow$ Function (equivalence)

x	y	$x \leftrightarrow y$
0	0	1
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

- The logical *equivalence* $\leftrightarrow$ can be viewed as a function mapping two Boolean values to a Boolean value:

$$\leftrightarrow : \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B}$$

- Many programming languages like C or C++ (or Rust or Haskell) use the operator == to represent the equivalence function.

Boolean $\veebar$ Function (exclusive or)

x	y	$x \veebar y$
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

- The logical *exclusive or* $\veebar$ can be viewed as a function mapping two Boolean values to a Boolean value:

$$\veebar : \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B}$$

- Another commonly used symbol for the exclusive or is $\oplus$.

Boolean $\overline{\wedge}$ Function (not-and)

x	y	$x \overline{\wedge} y$
0	0	1
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

- The logical *not-and* (nand) or $\overline{\wedge}$ can be viewed as a function mapping two Boolean values to a Boolean value:

$$\overline{\wedge} : \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B}$$

- The $\overline{\wedge}$ function is also written using the Sheffer stroke symbol $\uparrow$.

- While we use the functions $\wedge$, $\vee$, and $\neg$ to define more complex Boolean functions, the $\overline{\wedge}$ is sufficient to derive all elementary Boolean functions from it.
- This is important for digital circuits since all you need are not-and gates.

Boolean $\overline{\vee}$ Function (not-or)

x	y	$x \overline{\vee} y$
0	0	1
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	0

- The logical *not-or* (nor) $\overline{\vee}$ can be viewed as a function mapping two Boolean values to a Boolean value:

$$\overline{\vee} : \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B}$$

- The $\overline{\vee}$ function is also written using the Quine arrow $\downarrow$.

- The $\overline{\vee}$ is like $\overline{\wedge}$ sufficient to derive all elementary Boolean functions.

Alternative Notations

function	mnemonic	mathematics	engineering	C / C++	C / C++ (bits)
and	x and y	$x \wedge y$	$x \cdot y$	`x && y`	`&`
or	x or y	$x \vee y$	$x + y$	`x || y`	`|`
not	not x	$\neg x$	$\overline{x}, x'$	`! x`	`~`
implication	x impl y	$x \rightarrow y$			
equivalence	x equiv y	$x \leftrightarrow y$		`x == y`	
exclusive-or	x xor y	$x \veebar y$	$x \oplus y$		`^`
not-and	x nand y	$x \barwedge y$, $x \barwedge y$	$\overline{x \cdot y}$		
not-or	x nor y	$x \barvee y$, $x \barvee y$	$\overline{x + y}$		

Section 17: Boolean Functions and Formulas

16 Boolean Variables and Elementary Functions

17 Boolean Functions and Formulas

18 Boolean Algebra Equivalence Laws

19 Conjunctive and Disjunctive Normal Forms

20 Complexity of Boolean Formulas

Boolean Functions

- Elementary Boolean functions $(\neg, \wedge, \vee)$ can be composed to define more complex functions.
- An example of a composed function is $f : \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B}$ with $(x, y) \mapsto \neg(x \wedge y)$. The meaning is "first compute the logical and of x and y, then apply $\neg$ on the result obtained."
- Boolean functions can take a large number of arguments. Here is a function $f : \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B}$ taking three arguments.
- We may define the function f using a shorthand notation:

$$f(x, y, z) = (\neg(x \wedge y) \vee (z \wedge y))$$

The left hand side of the notation above defines the function name and its arguments, the right hand side defines the function itself by means of a formula.

Boolean Functions

Definition (Boolean function)

A *Boolean function* f is any function of the type $f : \mathbb{B}^k \to \mathbb{B}$, where $k \geq 0$. The number of arguments k is called the *arity* of the function.

Theorem

The truth table of a Boolean function with arity k has 2^k rows.

- A Boolean function with arity $k = 0$ assigns truth values to nothing. There are two such functions, one always returning 0 and the other always returning 1. We simply identify these two functions of arity 0 with the truth value constants 0 and 1.
- For functions with a large arity, truth tables become unmanageable.

Syntax of Boolean formulas (aka Boolean expressions)

Definition (Syntax of Boolean formulas)

Basis of inductive definition:

1a Every Boolean variable x_i is a Boolean formula.

1b The two Boolean constants 0 and 1 are Boolean formulas.

Induction step:

2a If f and g are Boolean formulas, then $(f \wedge g)$ is a Boolean formula.

2b If f and g are Boolean formulas, then $(f \vee g)$ is a Boolean formula.

2c If f is a Boolean formula, then $\neg f$ is a Boolean formula.

Semantics of Boolean formulas

Definition (Semantics of Boolean formulas)

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a set of Boolean variables and $\mathcal{I} : \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{B}$ an interpretation. Let $\Phi(\mathcal{D})$ be the set of all Boolean formulas which contain only Boolean variables that are in $\mathcal{D}$. We define a generalized version of an interpretation $\mathcal{I}^* : \Phi(\mathcal{D}) \to \mathbb{B}$.

Basis of the inductive definition:

1a For every Boolean variable $x \in \mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{I}^*(x) = \mathcal{I}(x)$.

1b For the two Boolean constants 0 and 1, we set $\mathcal{I}^*(0) = 0$ and $\mathcal{I}^*(1) = 1$.

Definition (Semantics of Boolean formulas (cont.))

Induction step, with f and g in $\Phi(\mathcal{D})$:

2a

$$\mathcal{I}^*((f \wedge g)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathcal{I}^*(f) = 1 \text{ and } \mathcal{I}^*(g) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

2b

$$\mathcal{I}^*((f \vee g)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathcal{I}^*(f) = 1 \text{ or } \mathcal{I}^*(g) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

2c

$$\mathcal{I}^*(\neg f) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathcal{I}^*(f) = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathcal{I}^*(f) = 1 \end{cases}$$

Section 18: Boolean Algebra Equivalence Laws

16 Boolean Variables and Elementary Functions

17 Boolean Functions and Formulas

18 Boolean Algebra Equivalence Laws

19 Conjunctive and Disjunctive Normal Forms

20 Complexity of Boolean Formulas

Tautology and contradiction

Definition (adapted interpretation)

An interpretation $\mathcal{I} : \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{B}$ is *adapted* to a Boolean formula f if all Boolean variables that occur in f are contained in $\mathcal{D}$.

Definition (tautologies and contradictions)

A Boolean formula f is a *tautology* if for all interpretations $\mathcal{I}$, which are adapted to f, $\mathcal{I}(f) = 1$ holds. A Boolean formula f is a *contradiction* if for all interpretations $\mathcal{I}$, which are adapted to f, $\mathcal{I}(f) = 0$ holds.

Satisfying a Boolean formula

Definition (satisfying a Boolean formula)

An interpretation $\mathcal{I}$, which is adapted to a Boolean formula f, is said to *satisfy* the formula f if $\mathcal{I}(f) = 1$. A formula f is called *satisfiable* if there exists an interpretation which satisfies f.

The following two statements are equivalent characterizations of satisfiability:

- A Boolean formula is satisfiable if and only if its truth table contains at least one row that results in 1.
- A Boolean formula is satisfiable if and only if it is not a contradiction.

Equivalence of Boolean formulas

Definition (equivalence of Boolean formulas)

Let f, g be two Boolean formulas. The formula f is equivalent to the formula g, written $f \equiv g$, if for all interpretations $\mathcal{I}$, which are adapted to both f and g, it holds that $\mathcal{I}(f) = \mathcal{I}(g)$.

- There are numerous "laws" of Boolean logic which are stated as equivalences. Each of these laws can be proven by writing down the corresponding truth table.
- Boolean equivalence "laws" can be used to "calculate" with logics, executing stepwise transformations from a starting formula to some target formula, where each step applies one equivalence law.

Boolean Equivalence laws

Proposition (equivalence laws)

For any Boolean formulas f, g, h, the following equivalences hold:

1. $f \wedge 1 \equiv f$, $f \vee 0 \equiv f$ *(identity)*
2. $f \vee 1 \equiv 1$, $f \wedge 0 \equiv 0$ *(domination)*
3. $(f \wedge f) \equiv f$, $(f \vee f) \equiv f)$ *(idempotency)*
4. $(f \wedge g) \equiv (g \wedge f)$, $(f \vee g) \equiv (g \vee f)$ *(commutativity)*
5. $((f \wedge g) \wedge h) \equiv (f \wedge (g \wedge h))$, $((f \vee g) \vee h) \equiv (f \vee (g \vee h))$ *(associativity)*
6. $f \wedge (g \vee h) \equiv (f \wedge g) \vee (f \wedge h)$, $f \vee (g \wedge h) \equiv (f \vee g) \wedge (f \vee h)$ *(distributivity)*
7. $\neg\neg f \equiv f$, $f \wedge \neg f \equiv 0$, $f \vee \neg f \equiv 1$ *(double negation, complementation)*
8. $\neg(f \wedge g) \equiv (\neg f \vee \neg g)$, $\neg(f \vee g) \equiv (\neg f \wedge \neg g)$ *(de Morgan's laws)*
9. $f \wedge (f \vee g) \equiv f$, $f \vee (f \wedge g) \equiv f$ *(absorption laws)*

Section 19: Conjunctive and Disjunctive Normal Forms

16 Boolean Variables and Elementary Functions

17 Boolean Functions and Formulas

18 Boolean Algebra Equivalence Laws

19 Conjunctive and Disjunctive Normal Forms

20 Complexity of Boolean Formulas

Literals, Monomials, Clauses

Definition (literals)

A *literal* L_i is a Boolean formula that has one of the forms x_i, $\neg x_i$, 0, 1, $\neg 0$, $\neg 1$, i.e., a literal is either a Boolean variable or a constant or a negation of a Boolean variable or a constant. The literals x_i, 0, 1 are called *positive literals* and the literals $\neg x_i$, $\neg 0$, $\neg 1$ are called *negative literals*.

Definition (monomial)

A *monomial* (or *product term*) is a literal or the conjunction (product) of literals.

Definition (clause)

A *clause* (or *sum term*) is a literal or the disjunction (sum) of literals.

Conjunctive Normal Form

Definition (conjunctive normal form)

A Boolean formula is said to be in *conjunctive normal form* (CNF) if it is a conjunction of disjunctions of literals.

- Examples of formulas in CNF:
  - x_1 — short form of $((1 \vee 1) \wedge (x_1 \vee 0))$
  - $x_1 \wedge x_2$ — short form of $((x_1 \vee x_1) \wedge (x_2 \vee x_2))$
  - $x_1 \vee x_2$ — short form of $((1 \vee 1) \wedge (x_1 \vee x_2))$
  - $\neg x_1 \wedge (x_2 \vee x_3)$ — short form of $((0 \vee \neg x_1) \wedge (x_2 \vee x_3))$
  - $(x_1 \vee \neg x_2) \wedge (\neg x_1 \vee x_2)$
- We typically write the short form, leaving out trivial expansions into full CNF form.

Disjunctive Normal Form

Definition (disjunctive normal form)

A Boolean formula is said to be in *disjunctive normal form* (DNF) if it is a disjunction of conjunctions of literals.

- Examples of formulas in DNF:
  - x_1 — short form of $((0 \wedge 0) \vee (x_1 \wedge 1))$
  - $x_1 \wedge x_2$ — short form of $((0 \wedge 0) \vee (x_1 \wedge x_2))$
  - $x_1 \vee x_2$ — short form of $((x_1 \wedge x_1) \vee (x_2 \wedge x_2))$
  - $(\neg x_1 \wedge x_2) \vee (\neg x_1 \wedge x_3)$
  - $(\neg x_1 \wedge \neg x_2) \vee (x_1 \wedge x_2)$
- We typically write the short form, leaving out trivial expansions into full DNF form.

Equivalence of Normal Forms

Proposition (CNF equivalence)

Every Boolean formula f is equivalent to a Boolean formula g in conjunctive normal form.

Proposition (DNF equivalence)

Every Boolean formula f is equivalent to a Boolean formula g in disjunctive normal form.

- These two results are important since we can represent any Boolean formula in a "shallow" format that does not need any "deeply nested" bracketing levels.

Minterms and Maxterms

Definition (minterm)

A *minterm* of a Boolean function $f(x_n, \ldots, x_1, x_0)$ is a monomial $(\hat{x_n} \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{x_1} \wedge \hat{x_0})$ where $\hat{x_i}$ is either x_i or $\neg x_i$. A shorthand notation is m_d where d is the decimal representation of the binary number obtained by replacing all negative literals with 0 and all positive literals with 1 and by dropping the operator.

Definition (maxterm)

A *maxterm* of a Boolean function $f(x_n, \ldots, x_1, x_0)$ is a clause $(\hat{x_n} \vee \ldots \vee \hat{x_1} \vee \hat{x_0})$ where $\hat{x_i}$ is either x_i or $\neg x_i$. A shorthand notation is M_d where d is the decimal representation of the binary number obtained by replacing all negative literals with 1 and all positive literals with 0 and by dropping the operator.

Obtaining a DNF from a Truth Table

- Given a truth table, a DNF can be obtained by writing down a conjunction of the input values for every row where the result is 1 and connecting all obtained conjunctions together with a disjunction.

x	y	$x \veebar y$
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

- 2nd row: $\neg x \wedge y$
- 3rd row: $x \wedge \neg y$
- $x \veebar y = (\neg x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge \neg y) = m_1 + m_2$

Obtaining a CNF from a Truth Table

- Given a truth table, a CNF can be obtained by writing down a disjunction of the negated input values for every row where the result is 0 and connecting all obtained disjunctions together with a conjunction.

x	y	$x \underline{\vee} y$
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

- 1st row: $x \vee y$
- 4th row: $\neg x \vee \neg y$
- $x \underline{\vee} y = (x \vee y) \wedge (\neg x \vee \neg y) = M_0 \cdot M_3$

Section 20: Complexity of Boolean Formulas

16 Boolean Variables and Elementary Functions

17 Boolean Functions and Formulas

18 Boolean Algebra Equivalence Laws

19 Conjunctive and Disjunctive Normal Forms

20 Complexity of Boolean Formulas

Cost of Boolean Formulas and Functions

Definition (cost of boolean formula)

The cost $C(f)$ of a boolean formula f is the number of operators in f.

Definition (cost of boolean function)

The cost $C(f)$ of a boolean function f is the minimum cost of boolean formulas defining f:

$$C(f) = \min_{g \text{ defines } f} C(g)$$

- We can find formulas of arbitrary high cost for a given boolean function.
- How do we find a formula with minimal cost for a given boolean function?

Implicants and Prime Implicants

Definition (implicant)

A product term of a Boolean function f of n variables is called an *implicant* of the function f if and only if for every combination of values of the n variables for which the product term is true, the function f is also true.

Definition (prime implicant)

An implicant of a function f is called a *prime implicant* of the function f if it is no longer an implicant if any literal is deleted from it.

Definition (essential prime implicant)

A prime implicant of a function f is called an *essential prime implicant of* f if it covers a true case of f that no combination of other prime implicants covers.

Quine McCluskey Algorithm

QM-0 Find all implicants of a given function (e.g., by determining the DNF from a truth table or by converting a boolean expression into DNF).

QM-1 Repeatedly combine non-prime implicants until there are only prime implicants left.

QM-2 Determine a minimum disjunction (sum) of prime implicants that defines the function. (This sum not necessarily includes all prime implicants.)

- We will further detail the steps QM-1 and QM-2 in the following slides.
- See also the complete example in the notes.

Finding Prime Implicants (QM-1)

PI-1 Classify and sort the minterms by the number of positive literals they contain.

PI-2 Iterate over the classes and compare each minterms of a class with all minterms of the following class. For each pair that differs only in one bit position, mark the bit position as a wildcard and write down the newly created shorter term combining two terms. Mark the two terms as used.

PI-3 Repeat the last step if new combined terms were created.

PI-4 The set of minterms or combined terms not marked as used are the prime implicants.

- Note: You can only combine minterms that have the wildcard at the same position.

Finding Minimal Sets of Prime Implicants (QM-2)

MS-1 Identify essential prime implicants (essential prime implicants cover an implicant that is not covered by any of the other prime implicants)

MS-2 Find a minimum coverage of the remaining implicants by the remaining prime implicants

- Note that multiple minimal coverages may exist. The algorithm above does not define which solution is returned in this case.
- There are ways to cut the search space by eliminating rows or columns that are "dominated" by other rows or columns.

Part 5: Propositional and Predicate Logic

21 Propositional Logic

22 Satisfiability Problem

23 Formal Syntax of Predicate Logic

24 Formal Semantics of Predicate Logic

Propositional Logic (zeroth-order logic, ZOL)

- Propositional logic (zeroth-order logic) is a basic logic dealing with simple propositions, which are either true or false, and the relations between propositions.
- Propositional logic can be formalized by introducing propositional variables (representing propositions) and logical connectives ($\wedge$, $\vee$, $\neg$, $\rightarrow$, $\leftrightarrow$) that can be used to construct more complex logical statements.
- Statements of propositional logic can be formalized using Boolean algebra and hence propositional logic is also known as Boolean logic.

Predicate Logic (first-order logic, FOL)

- Predicate logic (first-order logic) considers a world of objects and their properties. More specifically:
  - Variables denote invidual objects or constants.
  - Predicates express properties of objects and how they relate to other objects.
  - Functions can map values, e.g., to form simple mathematical expressions.
  - Quantifiers ranging over variables can be used to express that (i) some statement holds for all elements of a set or that (ii) at least one element of a set must exist for which a statement holds.
- Predicate logic can be fully formalized as well.
- For a formula in predicate logic, in which all variables are bound by quantifiers, we can derive whether it is true or false (once we agree on the semantics of the predicates and functions).

Second-order Logic (SOL)

- Second-order logic extends predicate logic by allowing quantifiers to range over predicates, which is impossible in first-order logic.
- Second-order logic provides additional expressiveness but this expressiveness is only needed in rare cases.
- Most theorems in mathematics can be formalized using first-order logic.

Non-standard Logics

- Temporal logics capture the notion of time. They can be used to reason about the temporal relationship of events, e.g. that a person is hungry until she eats something.
- Many-valued logics overcome the notion that a statement is either true or false by allowing additional truth values. For example, a three-valued logic could distinguish true, false, and unknown.
- Fuzzy logics represent the truth of a statement by a real number between 0 and 1. Fuzzy logic is based on the notion of fuzzy sets where set membership is described by a membership function returning a fuzzy value between 0 and 1.
- ...

Section 21: Propositional Logic

21 Propositional Logic

22 Satisfiability Problem

23 Formal Syntax of Predicate Logic

24 Formal Semantics of Predicate Logic

Logic Statements

- A common task is to decide whether statements of the following form are true:
  if *premises* P_1 **and** *...* **and** P_m *hold,* **then** *conclusion* C *holds*
- The premises P_i and the conclusion C are expressed in some logic formalism, the simplest is Boolean logic (also called propositional logic).
- Restricting us to Boolean logic here, the statement above can be seen as a Boolean formula of the following structure

$$(\varphi_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \varphi_m) \rightarrow \psi$$

and we are interested to find out whether such a formula is true, i.e., whether it is a tautology.

Section 22: Satisfiability Problem

21 Propositional Logic

22 Satisfiability Problem

23 Formal Syntax of Predicate Logic

24 Formal Semantics of Predicate Logic

Tautology and Satisfiability

- Recall that a Boolean formula τ is a tautology if and only if $\tau' = \neg\tau$ is a contradiction. Furthermore, a Boolean formula is a contradiction if and only if it is not satisfiable. Hence, in order to check whether

$$\tau = (\varphi_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \varphi_m) \rightarrow \psi$$

  is a tautology, we may check whether

$$\tau' = \neg((\varphi_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \varphi_m) \rightarrow \psi)$$

  is unsatisfiable.
- If we show that τ' is satisfiable, we have disproven τ.

Tautology and Satisfiability

- Since $\varphi \to \psi \equiv \neg(\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)$, we can rewrite the formulas as follows:

$$\tau = (\varphi_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \varphi_m) \to \psi = \neg(\varphi_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \varphi_m \wedge \neg\psi)$$

$$\tau' = \neg((\varphi_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \varphi_m) \to \psi) = (\varphi_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \varphi_m \wedge \neg\psi)$$

- To disprove τ, it is often easier to prove that τ' is satisfiable.
- Note that τ' has a homogenous structure. If we transform the elements $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_m, \psi$ into CNF, then the entire formula is in CNF.
- If τ' is in CNF, all we need to do is to invoke an algorithm that searches for interpretations $\mathcal{I}$ which satisfy a formula in CNF. If there is such an interpretation, τ is disproven, otherwise, if there is no such interpretation, then τ is proven.

Satisfiability Problem

Definition (satisfiability problem)

The satisfiability problem (SAT) is the following computational problem: Given as input a Boolean formula in CNF, compute as output a "yes" or "no" response according to whether the input formula is satisfiable or not.

- It is believed that there is no polynomial time solution for this problem.

Section 23: Formal Syntax of Predicate Logic

21 Propositional Logic

22 Satisfiability Problem

23 Formal Syntax of Predicate Logic

24 Formal Semantics of Predicate Logic

Symbol Sets

Definition (symbol set)

The *symbol set* S of a predicate logic consists of *generic symbols* and *domain-specific* symbols. The generic symbols are:

- variables $x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots$ (we may also use $a, b, c, \ldots$)
- logical connectives $\wedge, \vee, \neg, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$
- the equality symbol $=$
- the brackets (and)

The domain-specific symbols are:

- a set of constant symbols
- a set of n-ary predicate symbols, $n \geq 1$
- a set of n-ary function symbols, $n \geq 1$

Syntax of Terms

Definition (terms)

Given a symbol set S, *terms* over S (also called *S-terms*) are defined inductively as follows:

1. Every variable from S is an S-term.
2. Every constant from S is an S-term.
3. If f is an n-ary function symbol from S and $t_0, \ldots, t_{n-1}$ are S-terms, then $f\ t_0\ \ldots\ t_{n-1}$ is an S-term.

- This definition requires functions to be written in prefix notation.
- Infix notation is often allowed for functions such as addition or multiplication.
- Brackets are often allowed and used to add clarity.

Syntax of Expressions

Definition (expressions)

Given a symbol set S, *expressions* over S (also called *S-expressions*) are defined inductively as follows:

1. If t_0 and t_1 are S-terms, then $t_0 = t_1$ is an S-expression.
2. If $t_0, \ldots, t_{n-1}$ are S-terms and P is an n-ary predicate symbol, then $P\, t_0 \ldots t_{n-1}$ is an S-expression.
3. If φ is an S-expression, then $\neg\varphi$ is an S-expression.
4. If φ and ψ are S-expressions, then are $(\varphi \wedge \psi)$, $(\varphi \vee \psi)$, $(\varphi \to \psi)$, and $(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)$ S-expressions.
5. If φ is an S-expressions and x is a variable, then are $\exists\, x\, \varphi$ and $\forall\, x\, \varphi$ S-expressions.

Free Variables

Definition (free variables)

Let $var(t)$ denote the variables that occur in a term t. The set $free(\varphi)$ of variables that occur free in the expression φ is defined inductively as follows:

$$free(t_0 = t_1) = var(t_0) \cup var(t_1)$$
$$free(P\ t_0\ \ldots\ t_{n-1}) = var(t_0) \cup \ldots \cup var(t_{n-1})$$
$$free(\neg\varphi) = free(\varphi)$$
$$free((\varphi \wedge \psi)) = free(\varphi) \cup free(\psi) \qquad free((\varphi \vee \psi)) = free(\varphi) \cup free(\psi)$$
$$free((\varphi \rightarrow \psi)) = free(\varphi) \cup free(\psi) \qquad free((\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)) = free(\varphi) \cup free(\psi)$$
$$free(\exists\ x\ \varphi) = free(\varphi) \setminus x$$
$$free(\forall\ x\ \varphi) = free(\varphi) \setminus x$$

Section 24: Formal Semantics of Predicate Logic

21 Propositional Logic

22 Satisfiability Problem

23 Formal Syntax of Predicate Logic

24 Formal Semantics of Predicate Logic

Domain of Discourse

Definition (domain of discourse)

A *domain of discourse* $\mathcal{D}$ is a nonempty set of objects of some kind.

Definition (variable assignment)

A *variable assignment* μ associates an element of the domain of discourse $\mathcal{D}$ with each variable x_i of a symbol set S.

- A domain of discourse is often assumed to be a fixed set.
- If the domain of discourse varies over time, then things get complicated.
- A variable assignment may be restricted to all non-free variables of a formula.

Interpretation of Non-logical Symbols

Definition (interpretation of non-logical symbols)

An *interpretation* $\mathcal{I}$ of non-logical symbols of $\mathcal{D}$ is a mapping of symbols of constants, predicates and functions of a symbol set to constants, predicates and functions in the domain of discourse:

1. The interpretation of a constant symbol is an object in $\mathcal{D}$.
2. The interpretation of an n-ary predicate symbol is a set of n-tuples over $\mathcal{D}$ for which the predicate is true.
3. The interpretation of an n-ary function symbol f is a function $\mathcal{D}^n \to \mathcal{D}$.

- An interpretation provides meaning to symbols of constants, predicates, and functions.

Interpretation of Logical Symbols

Definition (interpretation of logical symbols)

The *interpretation* $\mathcal{I}^*$ of an expression using the domain $\mathcal{D}$ and the interpretation $\mathcal{I}$ of non-logical symbols is defined inductively:

1. $P\, t_0 \ldots t_{n-1}$ is true if and only if the tuple $(v_0, \ldots, v_n)$ is in the interpretation $\mathcal{I}$ of P and $v_0, \ldots, v_{n-1}$ are the interpretations of $t_0, \ldots, t_{n-1}$.
2. $t_0 = t_1$ is true if and only if t_0 and t_1 evaluate to the same object in $\mathcal{D}$.
3. $\neg\varphi$ is true if and only if the interpretation of φ is false.
4. $(\varphi \wedge \psi)$, $(\varphi \vee \psi)$, $(\varphi \to \psi)$, and $(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)$ are true if and only if the interpretations of φ and ψ satisfy the truth tables of the logical connectives.
5. $\exists x\, \varphi$ is true for a variable assignment μ if and only if there exists an interpretation for a variable assignment μ' that differs from μ at most regarding x.
6. $\forall x\, \varphi$ is true for a variable assignment μ if and only if it is true for all

Models and Relation to Expressions

Definition (model)

The combination or structure of an interpretation $\mathcal{I}$ and its domain $\mathcal{D}$ is called a *model*.

Definition (model relation between interpretations and expressions)

A model M satisfies the expression φ, denoted as $M \models \varphi$, if there is a suitable assignment of values in the domain of M to variables in φ such that the expression φ evaluates to true according to interpretation of M.

Entailment, Tautology, Contradiction, Satisfiability

Definition (entailment)

Let Φ be a set of expressions and φ be an expression. Then Φ *entails* φ, written as $\Phi \models \varphi$, if and only if every interpretation, which is a model of every $\psi \in \Phi$, is also a model of φ.

Definition (tautology, contradiction, satisfiability)

- An expression φ is *valid* or a *tautology*, if it always holds, that is, $\emptyset \models \varphi$. We also write $\models \varphi$.
- An expression φ is *invalid* or a *contradiction*, if it never holds, that is for all interpretations $\mathcal{I}$, $\mathcal{I} \models \varphi$ never holds.
- An expression φ is *satisfiable* if there exists and interpretation $\mathcal{I}$ such that $\mathcal{I} \models \varphi$ holds.

Part 6: Abstract Algebra

25 Magmas, Semigroups, Monoids, Groups

26 Rings and Fields

27 Homomorphisms

28 Lattices

Algebraic Structures

Definition (algebraic structure)

An *algebraic structure* consists of a nonempty set S (called the underlying set, carrier set or domain), a collection of operations on S (typically binary operations such as addition and multiplication), and a finite set of axioms that these operations must satisfy.

- A branch of mathematics known as *universal algebra* studies algebraic structures.
- A set S is a degenerate algebraic structure having no operations.
- We are interested in algebraic structures with one or multiple defined operations.

Section 25: Magmas, Semigroups, Monoids, Groups

25 Magmas, Semigroups, Monoids, Groups

26 Rings and Fields

27 Homomorphisms

28 Lattices

Magma

Definition (magma)

A *magma* $M = (S, \circ)$ is an algebraic structure consisting of a set S together with a binary operation $\circ$ satisfying the following property:

$$\forall a, b \in S : a \circ b \in S \qquad \text{closure}$$

The operation $\circ$ is a function $\circ : S \times S \to S$.

- A magma is a very general algebraic structure.
- By imposing additional constraints on the operation $\circ$, we can define more useful classes of algebraic structures.

Semigroup

Definition (semigroup)

A *semigroup* $G = (S, \circ)$ is an algebraic structure consisting of a set S together with a binary operation $\circ : S \times S \to S$ satisfying the following property:

$$\forall a, b, c \in S : (a \circ b) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ c) \qquad \text{associativity}$$

- A semigroup extends a magma by requiring that the operation is associative.
- The set of semigroups is a true subset of the set of all magmas.

Monoid

Definition (monoid)

A *monoid* $M = (S, \circ, e)$ is an algebraic structure consisting of a set S together with a binary operation $\circ : S \times S \to S$ and an identity element e satisfying the following properties:

$$\forall a, b, c \in S : (a \circ b) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ c) \qquad \text{associativity}$$

$$\exists e \in S, \forall a \in S : e \circ a = a = a \circ e \qquad \text{identity element}$$

The identity element e is also called the neutral element.

- A monoid extends a semigroup by requiring that there is an identity element.
- The set of monoids is a true subset of the set of all semigroups.

Group

Definition (group)

A *group* $G = (S, \circ, e)$ is an algebraic structure consisting of a set S together with a binary operation $\circ : S \times S \to S$ and an identity element e satisfying the following properties:

$$\forall a, b, c \in S : (a \circ b) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ c) \qquad \text{associativity}$$
$$\exists e \in S, \forall a \in S : e \circ a = a = a \circ e \qquad \text{identity element}$$
$$\forall a \in S, \exists b \in S : a \circ b = e \qquad \text{inverse element}$$

The element b is called the inverse element of a; it is often denoted as a^{-1}.

- A group extends a monoid by requiring that there is an inverse element.
- The set of groups is a true subset of the set of all monoids.

Abelian Group

Definition (abelian group)

An *Abelian group* $(S, \circ, e)$ is an algebraic structure consisting of a set S together with a binary operation $\circ : S \times S \to S$ and an identity element e satisfying the following properties:

$$\forall a, b, c \in S : (a \circ b) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ c) \quad \text{associativity}$$
$$\exists e \in S, \forall a \in S : e \circ a = a = a \circ e \quad \text{identity element}$$
$$\forall a \in S, \exists b \in S : a \circ b = e \quad \text{inverse element}$$
$$\forall a, b \in S : a \circ b = b \circ a \quad \text{commutativity}$$

- An Abelian group extends a group by requiring that the operation is commutativ.
- The set of Abelian groups is a true subset of the set of all groups.

Group Theorems

Theorem (single identity element)

Every group G has a single identity element.

Theorem (single inverse element)

Let $G = (S, \circ, e)$ be a group. For every $a \in G$, there exists a single $b \in G$ for which $a \circ b = e$ holds.

Theorem

Let $G = (S, \circ, e)$ be a group and $a, b \in G$. Then the equation $a \circ x = b$ has the solution $x = a^{-1} \circ b$ and the equation $y \circ a = b$ has the solution $y = b \circ a^{-1}$.

Subgroup

Definition (subgroup)

Let $G = (S, \circ, e)$ be a group. The group $H = (S', \circ, e)$ is called a *subgroup* of G if $S' \subseteq S$, denoted as $H \leq G$.

Definition (proper subgroup)

A subgroup $H = (S', \circ, e)$ of a group $G = (S, \circ, e)$ defined over a proper subset of $S' \subset S$ is called a *proper subgroup* of G, denoted as $H < G$.

- The trivial subgroup of any group G is the group $H = (\{e\}, \circ, e)$.
- Note that subgroup and group use the same operation $\circ$ and have the same identity element e.

Subgroup Test Theorem

Theorem

A nonempty subset H of a group $G = (S, \circ, e)$ is a subgroup of G if and only if the following properties hold:

(1) *If $a, b \in H$, then $a \circ b \in H$.*

(2) *If $a \in H$, then $a^{-1} \in H$.*

- To check whether a H is a subgroup of G, it is sufficient to show that H is nonempty, closed under $\circ$, and closed under inverses.
- This is often simpler than showing all group properties.

Cyclic Subgroup Theorem

Theorem

Let $G = (S, \circ, e)$ be a group and $a \in G$. Then $H = (S', \circ, e)$ with $S' = \{ a^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ is a subgroup of G.

- Recall that the notation a^n means applying the group operation *circ* n times to a.
- For an additive group, a^n equals na.
- For a group representing symmetries, a^n means applying a symmetry operation (e.g., a certain rotation) n times.

Permutation Groups and Symmetric Groups

Definition (permutation group)

A *permutation group* G is a group whose elements are permutations of a given set M and whose group operation is a composition of permutations of G.

Definition (symmetric group)

The group of all permutations of a given set M is called the *symmetric group* of M. If $M = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, then the symmetric group of degree n is denoted by S_n.

- We usually consider only finite sets M.
- If the cardinality of M is n, then it is easy to see that $|S_n| = n!$.
- Permutation groups of M are subgroups of the symmetric group of M.

Left Cosets and Right Cosets

Definition (left cosets and right cosets)

Let $G = (S, \circ, e)$ be a group and H be a subgroup of G. For a given fixed element $a \in G$, we call the set $aH = \{ a \circ h \mid h \in H \}$ the *left coset of H for $a \in G$*. Similarly, for a given fixed element $a \in G$, we call the set $Ha = \{ h \circ a \mid h \in H \}$ the *right coset of H for $a \in G$*.

- For an Abelian group G, we know that $aH = Ha$ for any $a \in G$.
- Since G is closed under the group operation, every coset of G is a subset of G.

Coset Partition and Equivalence Relation

Theorem (coset partition and equivalence relation)

Let H be a subgroup of a group $G = (S, \circ, e)$. Then the following statements hold and are equivalent:

(1) *The family of left cosets of H form a partition of the group G, that is, G is a disjoint union of left cosets of H.*

(2) *The relation $a \sim b \Leftrightarrow a \in bH$ is an equivalence relation on G.*

(3) *For every $g \in G$, there is exactly one left coset of H in G containing g.*

(4) *If aH and bH are left cosets of H in G, then either $aH = bH$ or $aH \cap bH = \emptyset$.*

Lagrange's Theorem

Theorem (Lagrange's theorem)

Let H be a subgroup of a finite group G. Then the order of H, denoted as $|H|$, is a divisor of the order of G, denoted as $|G|$. This can also be stated as $|G| = [G : H] \cdot |H|$.

- A corollary is that any group of prime order is cyclic and simple, that is, it only has two subgroups, the trival subgroup of the identity element and the group itself.
- Lagrange's theorem can be used to prove Fermat's little theorem and it's generalization, Euler's theorem.
- Note that it is not true that for all divisors d of $|G|$ there is also a subgroup H with that order, $|H| = d$.

Section 26: Rings and Fields

25 Magmas, Semigroups, Monoids, Groups

26 Rings and Fields

27 Homomorphisms

28 Lattices

Ring

Definition

A *ring* $R = (S, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ is an algebraic structure consisting of a set S together with two binary operations $+ : S \times S \to S$ and $\cdot : S \times S \to S$ satisfying the following properties:

1. $(S, +, 0)$ is an Abelian group with the identity element 0.
2. $(S, \cdot, 1)$ is a monoid with the identity element 1.
3. Multiplication is distributive with respect to addition:

$$\forall a, b, c \in S : a \cdot (b + c) = (a \cdot b) + (a \cdot c) \qquad \text{left distributivity}$$
$$\forall a, b, c \in S : (b + c) \cdot a = (b \cdot a) + (c \cdot a) \qquad \text{right distributivity}$$

Field

Definition (field)

A *field* $F = (S, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ is an algebraic structure consisting of a set S together with two operations $+ : S \times S \to S$ and $\cdot : S \times S \to S$ satisfying the following properties:

1. $(S, +, 0)$ is a group with the identity element 0
2. $(S \setminus \{0\}, \cdot, 1)$ is a group with the identity element 1
3. Multiplication distributes over addition

- A field is a commutative ring where $0 \neq 1$ and all nonzero elements are invertible under multiplication.
- Well known fields are the field of rational numbers, the field of real numbers, or the field of complex numbers.

Section 27: Homomorphisms

25 Magmas, Semigroups, Monoids, Groups

26 Rings and Fields

27 Homomorphisms

28 Lattices

Homomorphism, Monomorphism, Epimorphism, Isomorphism

Definition (homomorphism)

A *homomorphism* is a structure-preserving map between two algebraic structures of the same type that preserves the operations of the structures.

Definition (monomorphism)

An injective homomorphism is called a *monomorphism*.

Definition (epimorphism)

A surjective homomorphism is called an *epimorphism*.

Definition (isomorphism)

A bijective homomorphism is called an *isomorphism*.

Endomorphism, Automorphism

Definition (endomorphism)

A homomorphism where the domain equals the codomain is called an *endomorphism*.

Definition (automorphism)

An endomorphism which is also an isomorphism is called an *automorphism*.

Properties of Group Homomorphisms

Theorem

Let $G = (S, \circ, e_G)$ and $H = (T, \star, e_H)$ be groups and let $f : G \to H$ be a homomorphism mapping G to H. Then the following holds:

$$f(e_G) = e_H \qquad f \text{ maps the identity elements}$$

$$\forall a \in G : f(a^{-1}) = (f(a))^{-1} \qquad f \text{ maps inverses}$$

Cayley's Theorem

Theorem

Every group G is isomorphic to a subgroup of a symmetric group.

- If G is a finite group of order n, then G is isomorphic to a subgroup of the standard symmetric group S_n.
- Recall that the symmetric group S_n is the group whose elements are all bijections from a set with n elements to itself under composition.

Section 28: Lattices

25 Magmas, Semigroups, Monoids, Groups

26 Rings and Fields

27 Homomorphisms

28 Lattices

Lattice

Definition (lattice)

A *lattice* $L = (S, \sqcup, \sqcap)$ is an algebraic structure consisting of a nonempty set S together with two binary operations $\sqcup : S \times S \to S$ (join) and $\sqcap : S \times S \to S$ (meet) satisfying the following properties for $a, b, c \in S$:

$a \sqcup b = b \sqcup a$	$a \sqcap b = b \sqcap a$	commutative laws
$a \sqcup (b \sqcup c) = (a \sqcup b) \sqcup c$	$a \sqcap (b \sqcap c) = (a \sqcap b) \sqcap c$	associative laws
$a \sqcup a = a$	$a \sqcap a = a$	idempotent laws
$a \sqcup (a \sqcap b) = a$	$a \sqcap (a \sqcup b) = a$	absorption laws

Lattice and Partial Orders

Theorem

A nonempty partially ordered set S for which both the suprenum $\sup(a, b)$ *and the infimum* $\inf(a, b)$ *exist in S for every $a, b \in S$ forms the lattice $L = (S, \sup, \inf)$.*

- Recall that a binary relation is a partial order if it is
  - reflexive,
  - antisymmetric, and
  - transitive.
- The suprenum $\sup(a, b)$ of $a, b \in S$ is the smallest upper bound of a and b.
- The infimum $\inf(a, b)$ of $a, b \in S$ is the greatest lower bound of a and b.
- Some authors define lattices via partially ordered sets.

Distributive Lattice

Definition (distributive lattice)

A *distributive lattice* is a lattice $L = (S, \sqcup, \sqcap)$ which satisfies the distributive laws for $a, b, c \in S$:

$$a \sqcap (b \sqcup c) = (a \sqcap b) \sqcup (a \sqcap c)$$
$$a \sqcup (b \sqcap c) = (a \sqcup b) \sqcap (a \sqcup c)$$

- It can be shown that one distributive law implies the other.

Part 7: Graphs and Graph Algorithms

29 Graphs and Multigraphs

30 Directed Graphs and Directed Multigraphs

31 Trees

32 Graph Traversals

33 Maximum Flows

Section 29: Graphs and Multigraphs

29 Graphs and Multigraphs

30 Directed Graphs and Directed Multigraphs

31 Trees

32 Graph Traversals

33 Maximum Flows

Simple Graphs

Definition (simple graphs)

A *simple graph* is a pair $G = (V, E)$, where V is a set of *vertices*, and E is a set of unordered pairs $\{u, v\}$ of *edges* with $u, v \in V$ and $u \neq v$. The vertices u and v of an edge $\{u, v\}$ are called the edge's *endpoints*. When an edge $\{u, v\}$ exists, then the vertices u and v are called *adjacent*. The set of vertices adjacent to a give vertex v are called the *neighbors* of v.

- A simple graph has no links connecting a vertex to itself (so called self-loops).
- There can only be a single edge connecting two vertices in a simple graph.
- Sometimes it is convenient to restrict V to finite sets.

Multigraphs

Definition (multigraphs)

A *multigraph* is a triple $G = (V, E, f)$, where V is a set of vertices, E is a set of edges, and $f : E \to (V \times V)$ is a function mapping edges to pairs (u, v).

- Multigraphs allow self-loops.
- Multigraphs allow multiple edges between a pair of vertices.
- Obviously, every simple graph is also a multigraph.
- Since multigraph are more complex, it makes sense to use simple graphs when there is no need for multigraphs.

Degree of Vertices

Definition (degrees)

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and $v \in V$ be a vertex of G. Then, the number of edges $e \in E$ that contain v is called the *degree* of v, denoted as $deg\ v$.

Theorem

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph. Then, the sum of the degrees of all vertices of G equals twice the number of edges of G:

$$\sum_{v \in V} deg\ v = 2 \cdot |E|$$

Walks, Trails, Paths

Definition (walk)

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. A finite sequence $(v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k)$ of vertices $v_i \in V$ is called a *walk of* G if the pairs v_i and v_{i+1}, with $i \in \{0, \ldots, k-1\}$, are edges in E. The number k is called the length of the walk.

Definition (trail)

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and w be a walk of G. The walk is called a *trail* if all edges of the walk are distinct.

Definition (path)

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and w be a walk of G. The walk is called a *path* if all verticies of the walk are distinct.

Closed Walks and Cycles

Definition (closed walk)

Let $w = (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k)$ be a walk of a graph G. The walk is called a *closed walk of G* if the first and last vertex are the same, that is $v_0 = v_k$.

Definition (cycle)

Let $w = (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k)$ be a closed walk of a graph G. The walk is called a *cycle of G* if $k \geq 3$ and the vertices $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}$ are distinct.

- Common algorithmic problems:
  - Determine whether a given graph is cycle-free.
  - Determine a shortest closed walk covering a given set of vertices.
  - Determine a shortest path between two vertices.

Connected Components

Definition (path-connectedness)

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph. Two vertices $u, v \in V$ are *path-connected*, denoted as $u \simeq_G v$, if and only if there is a walk from u to v in G.

Theorem

Let G be a simple graph. Then $\simeq_G$ is an equivalence relation.

Definition (connected components)

Let G be a simple graph. The equivalence classes of the equivalence relation $\simeq_G$ are called the *connected components* of G. A graph G is *connected* if G has exactly one component.

Subgraphs, Induced Subgraphs, Triangles

Definition (subgraph)

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph. A graph $H = (W, F)$ is called a *subgraph of G* if and only if $W \subseteq V$ and $F \subseteq E$.

Definition (induced subgraph)

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph. A graph $H = (S, F)$ is called an *induced subgraph of G* if there exists an $S \subseteq V$ and F contains precisely those edges whose endpoints belong to S.

Definition (triangle)

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph and u, v, w be three distinct vertices of G. The induced subgraph of G on $\{u, v, w\}$ is a *triangle of G*.

Composition: Disjoint Union

Definition (disjoint union)

Let $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k$ be simple graphs, where $G_i = (V_i, E_i)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. The disjoint union of the graphs $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k$, denoted as $G_1 \sqcup G_2 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup G_k$, is defined to be the simple graph $G = (V, E)$, where

$$V = \{(i, v) \mid i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\} \wedge v \in V_i\} \quad \text{and}$$
$$E = \{\{(i, v1), (i, v2)\} \mid i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\} \wedge \{v1, v2\} \in E_i\}$$

Decompositions: Subgraphs of Components

Theorem

Let G be a simple graph and C be a component of G. Then, the induced subgraph of G on the set C is connected.

Theorem

Let G be a simple graph and let $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_k$ be all components of G. Then G is isomorphic to the disjoint union of subgraphs induced by the components.

Section 30: Directed Graphs and Directed Multigraphs

29 Graphs and Multigraphs

30 Directed Graphs and Directed Multigraphs

31 Trees

32 Graph Traversals

33 Maximum Flows

Directed Graphs

Definition (simple directed graphs)

A *simple directed graph* (also called a *digraph*) is a pair $G = (V, E)$, where V is a finite set of *vertices*, and E is a subset of $V \times V$ of *edges*. An edge $(u, v) \in E$ is also called an *arc* of G and u is called the *source* of this arc and v the *target* of this arc.

- We draw edges of digraphs as arrows pointing from the source to the target.
- Note that this definition of simple digraphs allows loops.

Directed Multigraphs

Definition (directed multigraphs)

A *directed multigraph* is a triple $G = (V, E, f)$, where V is a finite set of vertices, E is finite set of edges, and $f : E \to (V \times V)$ is a function mapping edges to arcs (u, v).

- Directed multigraphs allow multiple directed edges between a pair of vertices.
- Obviously, every simple directed graph is also a directed multigraph.
- Since directed multigraph are more complex, it makes sense to use simple directed graphs when there is no need for directed multigraphs.

Indegrees and Outdegrees

Definition (indegrees and outdegrees)

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simpe directed graph or a directed multigraph and $v \in V$ be a vertex of G. Then, the number of edges $e \in E$ that contain v as a source is called the *outdegree* of v, denoted as $deg^+ v$. The number of edges $e \in E$ that contain v as a target is called the *indegree* of v, denoted as $deg^- v$.

Theorem

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a directed graph or directed multigraph. Then, the sum of all indegree equals the sum of all outdegree and is given by the size of E.

$$\sum_{v \in V} deg^+ v = \sum_{v \in V} deg^- v = |E|$$

Walks, Trails, Paths, Cycles

Definition (cycle)

Let $w = (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k)$ be a closed walk of a directed graph G. The walk is called a *cycle of* G if $k \geq 1$ and the vertices $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}$ are distinct.

- The definition of walks, trails, paths, closed paths, and cylces for graphs can be easily extended to directed graphs, the only difference is that edges can only be traversed in one direction in directed graphs.
- A new definition of a cycle is needed since
  - directed graphs can have loops and
  - directed arcs avoid problems caused by the possibility to pass edges in both directions.

Strong Components

Definition (strong path-connectedness)

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a directed graph or a directed multigraph. Two vertices $u, v \in V$ are *strong path-connected*, denoted as $u \simeq v$, if and only if there is a walk from u to v and a walk from v to u in G.

Theorem

Let G be a directed graph or a directed multigraph. Then $\simeq_G$ is an equivalence relation.

Definition (strong components)

Let G be a directed graph or a directed multigraph. The The equivalence classes of the equivalence relation $\simeq_G$ are called the *strong components* of G. A directed graph or directed multigraph G is *strongly connected* if G has exactly one strong component.

Section 31: Trees

29 Graphs and Multigraphs

30 Directed Graphs and Directed Multigraphs

31 Trees

32 Graph Traversals

33 Maximum Flows

Forrests and Trees

Definition (forrest)

A *forrest* is a multigraph with no cycles.

Definition (tree)

A *tree* is a connected forrest.

Definition (backtrack-free walk)

Let G be a multigraph. A *backtrack-free walk* of G is a walk w where no two adjacent edges of w are identical.

Tree Equivalence Theorem

Theorem

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a multigraph. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. *G is a tree.*
2. *G has no loops, and we have $V \neq \emptyset$, and for each $u, v \in V$, there is a unique path from u to v.*
3. *$V \neq \emptyset$, and for each $u, v \in V$, there is a unique backtrack-free walk from u to v.*
4. *G is connected, and we have $|E| = |V| - 1$.*
5. *G is connected, and we have $|E| < |V|$.*
6. *G is a forrest with $V \neq \emptyset$, but adding any new edge to G creates a cycle.*
7. *G is connected, but removing any edge from G yields a disconnected graph.*
8. *G is a forrest, and we have $|E| \geq |V| - 1$ and $V \neq \emptyset$.*

Induction Principle for Trees

Definition (leaf)

Let $T = (V, E)$ be a tree. A vertex v of T is called a *leaf* if its degree $\deg v = 1$.

Theorem

Let $T = (V, E)$ be a tree with at least two vertices. Let v be a leaf of T. Let $T \setminus v$ be the multigraph obtained from T by removing v and all edges that contain v. Then, $T \setminus v$ is a tree.

Spanning Trees

Definition (spanning subgraph)

Let $G = (V, E, f)$ be a multigraph. A *spanning subgraph* is a multigraph of the form $(V, F, f|F)$, where F is a subset of E.

Definition (spanning tree)

A *spanning tree* of a multigraph G is a spanning subgraph of G that is also a tree.

Theorem

Each connected multigraph G has at least one spanning tree.

- A spanning subgraph is created by removing edges.
- A spanning tree can be created by repeatedly removing edges until a tree is left.

Section 32: Graph Traversals

29 Graphs and Multigraphs

30 Directed Graphs and Directed Multigraphs

31 Trees

32 Graph Traversals

33 Maximum Flows

Graph Traversals

Definition (graph traversal)

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a connected graph. A *graph traversal* is a systematic method for visiting every vertex of G from a given start vertex $s \in V$.

Definition (queue)

A *queue* is a collection of elements maintained in sequence where elements can be added (enqueued) at the end of the queue and be removed (dequeued) from the front of the queue.

Definition (stack)

A *stack* is a collection of elements maintained in sequence where elements can be added (pushed) on the top of the stack and be removed (popped) from the top of the stack.

Breath First Search Graph Traversal

```
1: procedure BFS(G, start, func)
2:     visited ← ∅, queue ← ∅
3:     queue.enqueue(start)
4:     while queue ≠ ∅ do
5:         current ← queue.dequeue()
6:         if current ∉ visited then
7:             visited ← visited ∪ {current}
8:             func(current)
9:             for node ∈ G.adjacencies(current) do
10:                if node ∉ visited then
11:                    queue.enqueue(node)
12:                end if
13:            end for
14:        end if
15:    end while
16: end procedure
```

Depth First Search Graph Traversal

```
 1: procedure BFS(G, start, func)
 2:     visited ← ∅, stack ← ∅
 3:     stack.push(start)
 4:     while stack ≠ ∅ do
 5:         current ← stack.pop()
 6:         if current ∉ visited then
 7:             visited ← visited ∪ {current}
 8:             func(current)
 9:             for node ∈ G.adjacencies(current) do
10:                 if node ∉ visited then
11:                     stack.push(node)
12:                 end if
13:             end for
14:         end if
15:     end while
16: end procedure
```

Section 33: Maximum Flows

29 Graphs and Multigraphs

30 Directed Graphs and Directed Multigraphs

31 Trees

32 Graph Traversals

33 Maximum Flows

Network

Definition (network)

A *network* $N = (G, s, t, c)$ consists of a directed multigraph $G = (V, E, f)$, a vertice $s \in V$, called the *source*, a vertice $t \in V$ distinct from s called the *sink*, and a function $c : E \to \mathbb{N}$, called the capacity function.

- Network theory is a branch of mathematics studying graphs where vertices and edges posses some attributes.
- Other attributes of interest are usually cost functions expressing the cost of using an edge.

Flow

Definition (flow)

Let $N = (G, s, t, c)$ be a network with $G = (V, E, g)$. A *flow* on the network N is a function $f : E \to \mathbb{N}$ with the following properties:

- f satisfies the *capacity constraints* $0 \leq f(e) \leq c(e)$ for each $e \in E$.
- f satisfies the *conversation constraints* $f^-(v) = f^+(v)$ for each $v \in V \setminus \{s, t\}$.

Definition (inflow and outflow)

Let $N = (G, s, t, c)$ be a network with $G = (V, E, g)$ and let f be a flow. The *inflow* of f, denoted as $f^-(v)$, and the *outflow* of f, denoted as $f^+(v)$, are defined as follows:

$$f^-(v) = \sum_{e \in E, v \text{ target of } e} f(e) \qquad f^+(v) = \sum_{e \in E, v \text{ source of } e} f(e)$$

Part 8: Software Correctness

34 Software Specification

35 Software Verification

36 Automation of Software Verification

Section 34: Software Specification

34 Software Specification

35 Software Verification

36 Automation of Software Verification

Formal Specification and Verification

Definition (formal specification)

A *formal specification* uses a formal (mathematical) notation to provide a precise definition of what a program should do.

Definition (formal verification)

A *formal verification* uses logical rules to mathematically prove that a program satisfies a formal specification.

- For many non-trivial problems, creating a formal, correct, and complete specification is a problem by itself.
- A bug in a formal specification leads to programs with verified bugs.

Floyd-Hoare Triple

Definition (hoare triple)

Given a state that satisfies precondition P, executing a program C (and assuming it terminates) results in a state that satisfies postcondition Q. This is also known as the "Hoare triple":

$$\{P\}\ C\ \{Q\}$$

- Invented by Charles Anthony ("Tony") Richard Hoare with original ideas from Robert Floyd (1969).
- Hoare triple can be used to specify what a program should do.
- Example:

$$\{X = 1\}\ X := X + 1\ \{X = 2\}$$

Partial Correctness and Total Correctness

Definition (partial correctness)

An algorithm starting in a state that satisfies a precondition P is *partially correct with respect to P and Q* if results produced by the algorithm satisfy the postcondition Q. Partial correctness does not require that a result is always produced, i.e., the algorithm may not terminate for some inputs.

Definition (total correctness)

An algorithm is *totally correct with respect to P and Q* if it is partially correct with respect to P and Q and it always terminates.

Hoare Notation Conventions

1. The symbols V, V_1, ..., V_n stand for arbitrary variables. Examples of particular variables are X, Y, R etc.
2. The symbols E, E_1, ..., E_n stand for arbitrary expressions (or terms). These are expressions like $X + 1$, $\sqrt{2}$ etc., which denote values (usually numbers).
3. The symbols S, S_1, ..., S_n stand for arbitrary statements. These are conditions like $X < Y$, $X^2 = 1$ etc., which are either true or false.
4. The symbols C, C_1 , ..., C_n stand for arbitrary commands of our programming language; these commands are described on the following slides.

- We will use lowercase letters such as x and y to denote auxiliary variables (e.g., to denote values stored in variables).

Hoare Assignments

- Syntax: $V := E$
- Semantics: The state is changed by assigning the value of the expression E to the variable V. All variables are assumed to have global scope.
- Example: $X := X + 1$

Hoare Skip Command

- Syntax: *SKIP*
- Semantics: Do nothing. The state after executing the *SKIP* command is the same as the state before executing the *SKIP* command.
- Example: *SKIP*

Hoare Command Sequences

- Syntax: $C_1; \ldots; C_n$
- Semantics: The commands $C_1, \ldots, C_n$ are executed in that order.
- Example: $R := X; X := Y; Y := R$

Hoare Conditionals

- Syntax: *IF S THEN* C_1 *ELSE* C_2 *FI*
- Semantics: If the statement S is true in the current state, then C_1 is executed. If S is false, then C_2 is executed.
- Example: *IF* $X < Y$ *THEN* $M := Y$ *ELSE* $M := X$ *FI*

Hoare While Loop

- Syntax: *WHILE S DO C OD*
- Semantics: If the statement S is true in the current state, then C is executed and the WHILE-command is repeated. If S is false, then nothing is done. Thus C is repeatedly executed until the value of S becomes false. If S never becomes false, then the execution of the command never terminates.
- Example: *WHILE* $\neg(X = 0)$ *DO* $X := X - 2$ *OD*

Termination can be Tricky

```
1: function COLLATZ(X)
2:     while X > 1 do
3:         if (X%2) ≠ 0 then
4:             X ← (3 · X) + 1
5:         else
6:             X ← X/2
7:         end if
8:     end while
9:     return X
10: end function
```

- Collatz conjecture: The program will eventually return the number 1, regardless of which positive integer is chosen initially.

Specification can be Tricky

- Specification for the maximum of two variables:

$$\{\mathbf{T}\}\ C\ \{\ Y = max(X, Y)\ \}$$

- C could be:

```
IF X > Y THEN Y := X ELSE SKIP FI
```

- But C could also be:

```
IF X > Y THEN X := Y ELSE SKIP FI
```

- And C could also be:

```
Y := X
```

- Use auxiliary variables x and y to associate Q with P:

$$\{\ X = x \wedge Y = y\ \}\ C\ \{\ Y = max(x, y)\ \}$$

Section 35: Software Verification

34 Software Specification

35 Software Verification

36 Automation of Software Verification

Floyd-Hoare Logic

- Floyd-Hoare Logic is a set of inference rules that enable us to formally proof partial correctness of a program.
- If S is a statement, we write $\vdash S$ to mean that S has a proof.
- The axioms of Hoare logic will be specified with a notation of the following form:

$$\frac{\vdash S_1, \ldots, \vdash S_n}{\vdash S}$$

- The conclusion S may be deduced from $\vdash S_1, \ldots, \vdash S_n$, which are the hypotheses of the rule.
- The hypotheses can be theorems of Floyd-Hoare logic or they can be theorems of mathematics.

Precondition Strengthening

- If P implies P' and we have shown $\{P'\}\ C\ \{Q\}$, then $\{P\}\ C\ \{Q\}$ holds as well:

$$\frac{\vdash P \to P', \quad \vdash \{P'\}\ C\ \{Q\}}{\vdash \{P\}\ C\ \{Q\}}$$

- Example: Since $\vdash X = n \to X + 1 = n + 1$, we can strengthen

$$\vdash \{\ X + 1 = n + 1\ \}\ X := X + 1\ \{\ X = n + 1\ \}$$

to

$$\vdash \{\ X = n\ \}\ X := X + 1\ \{\ X = n + 1\ \}.$$

Postcondition Weakening

- If Q' implies Q and we have shown $\{P\}\ C\ \{Q'\}$, then $\{P\}\ C\ \{Q\}$ holds as well:

$$\frac{\vdash \{P\}\ C\ \{Q'\}, \quad \vdash Q' \to Q}{\vdash \{P\}\ C\ \{Q\}}$$

- Example: Since $X = n + 1 \to X > n$, we can weaken

$$\vdash \{\ X = n\ \}\ X := X + 1\ \{\ X = n + 1\ \}$$

to

$$\vdash \{\ X = n\ \}\ X := X + 1\ \{\ X > n\ \}$$

Weakest Precondition

Definition (weakest precondition)

Given a program C and a postcondition Q, the *weakest precondition* $wp(C, Q)$ denotes the largest set of states for which C terminates and the resulting state satisfies Q.

Definition (weakest liberal precondition)

Given a program C and a postcondition Q, the *weakest liberal precondition* $wlp(C, Q)$ denotes the largest set of states for which C leads to a resulting state satisfying Q.

- The "weakest" precondition P means that any other valid precondition implies P.
- The definition of $wp(C, Q)$ is due to Dijkstra (1976) and it requires termination while $wlp(C, Q)$ does not require termination.

Strongest Postcondition

Definition (stronges postcondition)

Given a program C and a precondition P, the *strongest postcondition* $sp(C, P)$ has the property that $\vdash \{ P \} C \{ sp(C, P) \}$ and for any Q with $\vdash \{ P \} C \{ Q \}$, we have $\vdash sp(C, P) \to Q$.

- The "strongest" postcondition Q means that any other valid postcondition is implied by Q (via postcondition weakening).

Assignment Axiom

- Let $P[E/V]$ (P with E for V) denote the result of substituting the expression E for all occurances of the variable V in the statement P.
- An assignment assigns a variable V an expression E:

$$\vdash \{ P[E/V] \} V := E \{ P \}$$

- Example:

$$\{ X + 1 = n + 1 \} X := X + 1 \{ X = n + 1 \}$$

Specification Conjunction and Disjunction

- If we have shown $\{ P_1 \} C \{ Q_1 \}$ and $\{ P_2 \} C \{Q_2\}$, then $\{ P_1 \wedge P_2 \} C \{ Q_1 \wedge Q_2 \}$ holds as well:

$$\frac{\vdash \{P_1\}\ C\ \{Q_1\}, \quad \vdash \{P_2\}\ C\ \{Q_2\}}{\vdash \{P_1 \wedge P_2\}\ C\ \{Q_1 \wedge Q_2\}}$$

- We get a similar rule for disjunctions:

$$\frac{\vdash \{P_1\}\ C\ \{Q_1\}, \quad \vdash \{P_2\}\ C\ \{Q_2\}}{\vdash \{P_1 \vee P_2\}\ C\ \{Q_1 \vee Q_2\}}$$

- These rules allows us to prove $\vdash \{ P \} C \{ Q_1 \wedge Q_2 \}$ by proving both $\vdash \{ P \} C \{ Q_1 \}$ and $\vdash \{ P \} C \{ Q_2 \}$.

Skip Command Rule

- Syntax: *SKIP*
- Semantics: Do nothing. The state after executing the *SKIP* command is the same as the state before executing the command *SKIP*.
- Skip Command Rule:

$$\frac{}{\vdash \{P\}\ SKIP\ \{P\}}$$

Sequence Rule

- Syntax: $C_1; \ldots; C_n$
- Semantics: The commands $C_1, \ldots, C_n$ are executed in that order.
- Sequence Rule:

$$\frac{\vdash \{P\}\ C_1\ \{R\}, \quad \vdash \{R\}\ C_2\ \{Q\}}{\vdash \{P\}\ C_1; C_2\ \{Q\}}$$

The sequence rule can be easily generalized to $n > 2$ commands:

$$\frac{\vdash \{P\}\ C_1\ \{R_1\}, \vdash \{R_1\}\ C_2\ \{R_2\}, \ \ldots, \vdash \{R_{n-1}\}\ C_n\ \{Q\}}{\vdash \{P\}\ C_1; C_2; \ldots; C_n\ \{Q\}}$$

Conditional Command Rule

- Syntax: *IF S THEN* C_1 *ELSE* C_2 *FI*
- Semantics: If the statement S is true in the current state, then C_1 is executed. If S is false, then C_2 is executed.
- Conditional Rule:

$$\frac{\vdash \{P \wedge S\}\ C_1\ \{Q\}, \quad \vdash \{P \wedge \neg S\}\ C_2\ \{Q\}}{\vdash \{P\}\ \mathit{IF}\ S\ \mathit{THEN}\ C_1\ \mathit{ELSE}\ C_2\ \mathit{FI}\ \{Q\}}$$

While Command Rule

- Syntax: *WHILE S DO C OD*
- Semantics: If the statement S is true in the current state, then C is executed and the WHILE-command is repeated. If S is false, then nothing is done. Thus C is repeatedly executed until the value of S becomes false. If S never becomes false, then the execution of the command never terminates.
- While Rule:

$$\frac{\vdash \{P \wedge S\}\ C\ \{P\}}{\vdash \{P\}\ \mathit{WHILE}\ S\ \mathit{DO}\ C\ \mathit{OD}\ \{P \wedge \neg S\}}$$

P is an invariant of C whenever S holds. Since executing C preserves the truth of P, executing C any numbner of times also preserves the truth of P.

Section 36: Automation of Software Verification

34 Software Specification

35 Software Verification

36 Automation of Software Verification

Proof Automation

- Proving even simple programs manually takes a lot of effort
- There is a high risk to make mistakes during the process
- General idea how to automate the proof:
  - (i) Let the human expert provide annotations of the specification (e.g., loop invariants) that help with the generation of proof obligations
  - (ii) Generate proof obligations automatically (verification conditions)
  - (iii) Use automated theorem provers to verify some of the proof obligations
  - (iv) Let the human expert prove the remaining proof obligations (or let the human expert provide additional annotations that help the automated theorem prover)
- Step (ii) essentially compiles an annotated program into a conventional mathematical problem.

Annotations

- Annotations are required
  - (i) before each command C_i (with $i > 1$) in a sequence $C_1; C_2; \ldots; C_n$, where C_i is not an assignment command and
  - (ii) after the keyword *DO* in a *WHILE* command (loop invariant)
- The inserted annotation is expected to be true whenever the execution reaches the point of the annotation.
- For a properly annotated program, it is possible to generate a set of proof goals (verification conditions).
- It can be shown that once all generated verification conditions have been proved, then $\vdash \{P\}\ C\ \{Q\}$.

Generation of Verification Conditions

- Assignment $\{P\}\ V := E\ \{Q\}$:
  Add verification condition $P \to Q[E/V]$.
- Conditions $\{P\}\ \mathit{IF}\ S\ \mathit{THEN}\ C_1\ \mathit{ELSE}\ C_2\ \mathit{FI}\ \{Q\}$
  Add verification conditions generated by $\{P \wedge S\}\ C_1\ \{Q\}$ and $\{P \wedge \neg S\}\ C_2\ \{Q\}$
- Sequences of the form $\{P\}\ C_1; \ldots; C_{n-1};\ \{R\}\ C_n\ \{Q\}$
  Add verification conditions generated by $\{P\}\ C_1; \ldots; C_{n-1}\ \{R\}$ and $\{R\}\ C_n\ \{Q\}$
- Sequences of the form $\{P\}\ C_1; \ldots; C_{n-1};\ V := E\ \{Q\}$
  Add verification conditions generated by $\{P\}\ C_1; \ldots; C_{n-1}\ \{Q[E/V]\}$
- While loops $\{P\}\ \mathit{WHILE}\ S\ \mathit{DO}\ \{R\}\ C\ \mathit{OD}\ \{Q\}$
  Add verification conditions $P \to R$ and $R \wedge \neg S \to Q$
  Add verificiation conditions generated by $\{R \wedge S\}\ C\ \{R\}$

Total Correctness

- We assume that the evaluation of expressions always terminates.
- With this simplifying assumption, only *WHILE* commands can cause loops that potentially do not terminate.
- All rules for the other commands can simply be extended to cover total correctness.
- The assumption that expression evaluation always terminates is often not true. (Consider recursive functions that can go into an endless recursion.)
- We have so far also silently assumed that the evaluation of expressions always yields a proper value, which is not the case for a division by zero.
- Relaxing our assumptions for expressions is possible but complicates matters significantly.

Rules for Total Correctness [1/4]

- Assignment axiom

$$\vdash [P[E/V]]\ V := E\ [P]$$

- Precondition strengthening

$$\frac{\vdash P \to P', \quad \vdash [P']\ C\ [Q]}{\vdash [P]\ C\ [Q]}$$

- Postcondition weakening

$$\frac{\vdash [P]\ C\ [Q'], \quad \vdash Q' \to Q}{\vdash [P]\ C\ [Q]}$$

Rules for Total Correctness [2/4]

- Specification conjunction

$$\frac{\vdash [P_1]\ C\ [Q_1], \quad \vdash [P_2]\ C\ [Q_2]}{\vdash [P_1 \wedge P_2]\ C\ [Q_1 \wedge Q_2]}$$

- Specification disjunction

$$\frac{\vdash [P_1]\ C\ [Q_1], \quad \vdash [P_2]\ C\ [Q_2]}{\vdash [P_1 \vee P_2]\ C\ [Q_1 \vee Q_2]}$$

- Skip command rule

$$\frac{}{[P]\ SKIP\ [P]}$$

Rules for Total Correctness [3/4]

- Sequence rule

$$\frac{\vdash [P]\ C_1\ [R_1],\ \vdash [R_1]\ C_2\ [R_2],\ \ldots,\ \vdash [R_{n-1}]\ C_n\ [Q]}{\vdash [P]\ C_1; C_2; \ldots; C_n\ [Q]}$$

- Conditional rule

$$\frac{\vdash [P \wedge S]\ C_1\ [Q], \quad \vdash [P \wedge \neg S]\ C_2\ [Q]}{\vdash [P]\ \mathit{IF}\ S\ \mathit{THEN}\ C_1\ \mathit{ELSE}\ C_2\ \mathit{FI}\ [Q]}$$

Rules for Total Correctness [4/4]

- While rule

$$\frac{\vdash [P \wedge S \wedge E = n]\ C\ [P \wedge (E < n)], \quad \vdash P \wedge S \rightarrow E \geq 0}{\vdash [P]\ \mathit{WHILE}\ S\ \mathit{DO}\ C\ \mathit{OD}\ [P \wedge \neg S]}$$

  E is an integer-valued expression
  n is an auxiliary variable not occuring in P, C, S, or E

- A prove has to show that a non-negative integer, called a *variant*, decreases on each iteration of the loop command C.

Generation of Termination Verification Conditions

- The rules for the generation of termination verificiation conditions follow directly from the rules for the generation of partial correctness verificiation conditions, except for the while command.
- To handle the while command, we need an additional annotation (in square brackets) that provides the variant expression.
- For while loops of the form $\{P\}$ *WHILE S DO* $\{R\}$ $[E]$ *C OD* $\{Q\}$ add the verification conditions

$$P \to R$$
$$R \wedge \neg S \to Q$$
$$R \wedge S \to E \geq 0$$

and add verificiation conditions generated by $\{R \wedge S \wedge (E = n)\}\ C\ \{R \wedge (E < n)\}$

Termination and Correctness

- Partial correctness and termination implies total correctness:

$$\frac{\vdash \{P\}\ C\ \{Q\}, \quad \vdash [P]\ C\ [\mathbf{T}]}{\vdash [P]\ C\ [Q]}$$

- Total correctness implies partial correctness and termination:

$$\frac{\vdash [P]\ C\ [Q]}{\vdash \{P\}\ C\ \{Q\}, \quad \vdash [P]\ C\ [\mathbf{T}]}$$