

### Problem Sheet #3

#### Problem 3.1: readers / writers problem

(1+1+1 = 3 points)

Below are three incorrect solutions of the readers-writers problem. Explain why the solutions works or in which situations the solutions fail to work correctly. The solutions use the following common definitions:

```
shared object data;
shared int readcount = 0;
semaphore mutex = 1, writer = 1;
```

|   |  |
|---|--|
| a) void reader()<br>{<br>down(&mutex);<br>readcount = readcount + 1;<br>if (readcount == 1) down(&writer);<br>up(&mutex);<br>read_shared_object(&data);<br>down(&mutex);<br>readcount = readcount - 1;<br>up(&mutex);<br>if (readcount == 0) up(&writer);<br>}                                      | void writer()<br>{<br>down(&writer);<br>write_shared_object(&data);<br>up(&writer);<br>}                                 |
| b) void reader()<br>{<br>down(&mutex);<br>readcount = readcount + 1;<br>if (readcount == 1) down(&writer);<br>up(&mutex);<br>read_shared_object(&data);<br>down(&mutex);<br>readcount = readcount - 1;<br>if (readcount == 0) {<br>up(&mutex);<br>up(&writer);<br>} else {<br>up(&mutex);<br>}<br>} | void writer()<br>{<br>down(&writer);<br>write_shared_object(&data);<br>up(&writer);<br>}                                 |
| c) void reader()<br>{<br>down(&mutex);<br>readcount = readcount + 1;<br>if (readcount == 1) down(&writer);<br>up(&mutex);<br>read_shared_object(&data);<br>down(&mutex);<br>readcount = readcount - 1;<br>if (readcount == 0) up(&writer);<br>up(&mutex);<br>}                                      | void writer()<br>{<br>down(&writer);<br>down(&mutex);<br>write_shared_object(&data);<br>up(&mutex);<br>up(&writer);<br>} |

**Problem 3.2: perfect numbers (multi-threading)**

(2+3+2 = 7 points)

A *perfect number* is a positive integer that is equal to the sum of its positive divisors, excluding the number itself. For example, 6 has the positive divisors { 1, 2, 3 } and  $1 + 2 + 3 = 6$ .

Write a C program called `perfect` that finds perfect numbers in a range for numbers. The default number range is [1,10000]. The program accepts the `-s` option to set the lower bound and the `-e` option to set the higher bound. Hence, the invocation `perfect -s 100 -e 1000` will search for perfect numbers in the range [100,1000].

The following function can be used to test whether a given number is a perfect number:

```
1  #include <stdint.h>
2
3  static int
4  is_perfect(uint64_t num)
5  {
6      uint64_t i, sum;
7
8      if (num < 2) {
9          return 0;
10     }
11
12     for (i = 2, sum = 1; i*i <= num; i++) {
13         if (num % i == 0) {
14             sum += (i*i == num) ? i : i + num / i;
15         }
16     }
17
18     return (sum == num);
19 }
```

- a) Write a program that searches for perfect numbers in a range of numbers. Your program must support the `-s` and `-e` options to define non-default search intervals.

```
./perfect -s 100 -e 10000
496
8128
```

- b) Implement an option `-t` that can be used to define how many concurrent threads should be used to execute the search. If the `-t` option is not present, then a single thread is used to carry out the search. For debugging purposes, implement an option `-v` that writes trace information to the standard error. Below is an invocation with two threads and a verbose trace.

```
./perfect -t 2 -v
perfect: t0 searching [1,5000]
perfect: t1 searching [5001,10000]
6
28
496
8128
perfect: t0 finishing
perfect: t1 finishing
```

- c) Determine how the `-t` option impacts the execution time. Pick a search interval that is a reasonable load for your computer hardware and then increase the threading level and determine how the execution time changes. Produce a plot presenting the measurements you have obtained and discuss the results.