## Performance Evaluation of a Multi-Radio Energy Conservation Scheme for Disruption Tolerant Networks

#### Iyad Tumar

**Birzeit University** 

MOBIWAC 2010, Bodrum, Turkey

October 18, 2010



## **Disruption Tolerant Networks (DTNs)**

- Developing network communication when connectivity is intermittent and prone to disruptions
- DTNs differ from traditional networks due to special characteristics
  - Frequent partitions, no end-to-end connection
  - Intermittent connectivity
  - Message delivery delay
  - Limited resources
- Efficient energy conservation schemes are necessary to prolong the network life time



Iyad Tumar

## **Disruption Tolerant Networks (DTNs)**

- Developing network communication when connectivity is intermittent and prone to disruptions
- DTNs differ from traditional networks due to special characteristics
  - Frequent partitions, no end-to-end connection
  - Intermittent connectivity
  - Message delivery delay
  - Limited resources
- Efficient energy conservation schemes are necessary to prolong the network life time



Iyad Tumar

## **Disruption Tolerant Networks (DTNs)**

- Developing network communication when connectivity is intermittent and prone to disruptions
- DTNs differ from traditional networks due to special characteristics
  - Frequent partitions, no end-to-end connection
  - Intermittent connectivity
  - Message delivery delay
  - Limited resources
- Efficient energy conservation schemes are necessary to prolong the network life time



Iyad Tumar

## Some Examples/Applications of DTNs



- Military Battlefield Network
- Energy Constrained / Sparse Wireless Sensor Networks
- Underwater Acoustic Networks

## Contribution

#### Single Radio

• Alternate between sleep mode and active mode to search for contacts and to exchange data

#### Multi-Radio

- Based only on the low power radio to discover contacts and to awake the high-power
- Based on the high power radio to undertake the data transmission





- Mobility Models
- Power Management of Disruption Tolerant Networks
- 2 Multi-Radio Power Management Scheme for DTNs
  - Performance Evaluation of the MR Power management Scheme
  - Impact of Different Mobility Models on the MR Power management Scheme
- Summary, Conclusions and Future Directions





- Mobility Models
- Power Management of Disruption Tolerant Networks
- 2 Multi-Radio Power Management Scheme for DTNs
  - Performance Evaluation of the MR Power management Scheme
  - Impact of Different Mobility Models on the MR Power management Scheme
- 3 Summary, Conclusions and Future Directions



#### Random Waypoint (RWP)

- The most common model used to evaluate routing protocols such as DSR and AODV
- Each node chooses some destination randomly and moves there in different speed

#### Message Ferry Mobility Model (MF)

- Regular nodes (often static nodes)
- Ferries which move around the deployed area in a deterministic path
  - Collect messages from the regular nodes
  - Deliver messages to their destinations or to other ferries



## **Mobility Models**

#### Manhattan Mobility Model

- It uses a grid road topology for the movement in urban areas
- Nodes move in horizontal or vertical streets

#### ZebraNet Mobility Model

 Zebra Mobility models are based on zebra's movement habit

#### Human (Orlando) Mobility Model

• The Orlando mobility model is based on actual data gathered from human mobility



## Power Management of DTNs

#### Oracles and Knowledge-Based Mechanisms

- These power management mechanisms based on knowledge of future contacts
- Assume that nodes have synchronized clocks
- Save 50% of the energy compared to the case when no power management apply

#### Hierarchical Power Management

- It is based on the previous mechanisms and assumes synchronization among nodes
- Use additional low-power radio to discover contacts and to awake the high-power radio to exchange data
- Saves 73% of the energy compared to the case when no power management apply



## Power Management of DTNs

#### The Context Aware power Management Scheme (CAPM)

- Asynchronous mechanism (each node works on its own wake-up schedule)
- The CAPM scheme has a fixed duty cycle
- Each node wakes up for a fixed or adaptive period and sleeps for the remaining time
- The CAPM achieves 80% energy saving while PSM in Hierarchical power management scheme saves 40%



#### Background

- Mobility Models
- Power Management of Disruption Tolerant Networks
- 2 Multi-Radio Power Management Scheme for DTNs
  - Performance Evaluation of the MR Power management Scheme
  - Impact of Different Mobility Models on the MR Power management Scheme

#### 3 Summary, Conclusions and Future Directions



## Multi-Radio Power Management Scheme for DTNs

Multi-Radio combines concepts of on-demand and asynchronous schemes by using

- Low-power radio (LPR) interface to search for neighbors
- High-power radio (HPR) interface that is woken on-demand to exchange data

#### Power usage of low and high power radios (in Watt)

| Radio   | Tx     | Rx     | Idle   | Sleep  | Bit Rate   |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------|
| WaveLan | 1.3272 | 0.9670 | 0.8437 | 0.0664 | 2 Mbps     |
| XTend   | 1      | 0.36   | 0.36   | 0.01   | 115.2 Kbps |



## Neighbor Discovery and Data Delivery

• Each node periodically wakes up for a period *W* in a fixed duty cycle of length *C* 



Nodes wakeup order : node 1, node 2, node 3



#### Multiple possible neighbor discovery scenarios

## Simulation Setup

#### Simulation Scenarios

- Each scenario is set up with 40 nodes, distributed over
  - $1000 \times 1000 \text{ m}^2$
  - 1400  $\times$  1400  $\mathrm{m}^2$
  - $3000 \times 3000 \text{ m}^2$

#### Traffic Model

- We use constant bit rate traffic with 10 CBR flows and a packet size of 512 bytes.
- The traffic generation for each flow varied from 0.25 pkts/s to 3 pkts/s.
- Only a maximum of 10 connections are allowed during each run.



 $1150 \times 1150 \text{ m}^2$ 

 $2000 \times 2000 \text{ m}^2$ 

### **Evaluation Metrics**

#### **1** Normalized Energy Consumption (NEC):

The ratio of the energy consumption when multi-radio scheme is applied divided by the energy consumption in the absence of energy conservation

#### 2 Delivery Ratio:

The ratio of the number of the successfully received data packets divided by the number of the data packets sent

#### Average End-to-End Delay:

The average delay it takes to deliver a data packet from the source to the destination



### **Evaluation Metrics**

#### **1** Normalized Energy Consumption (NEC):

The ratio of the energy consumption when multi-radio scheme is applied divided by the energy consumption in the absence of energy conservation

#### Delivery Ratio:

The ratio of the number of the successfully received data packets divided by the number of the data packets sent

#### Average End-to-End Delay:

The average delay it takes to deliver a data packet from the source to the destination



### **Evaluation Metrics**

#### **1** Normalized Energy Consumption (NEC):

The ratio of the energy consumption when multi-radio scheme is applied divided by the energy consumption in the absence of energy conservation

#### Delivery Ratio:

The ratio of the number of the successfully received data packets divided by the number of the data packets sent

#### **3** Average End-to-End Delay:

The average delay it takes to deliver a data packet from the source to the destination



# Impact of Node Density on Delivery Ratio of the MR using RWP



# Impact of Node Density on Average Delay using RWP



## Impact of Node Density on Normalized Energy Consumption using RWP



## Impact of Varying Node Density and Traffic Load on the Delivery Ratio for Different Mobility Models



Iyad Tumar

## Impact of Varying Node Density and Traffic Load on the Average Delay for Different Mobility Models



Iyad Tumar

## Impact of Varying Node Density and Traffic Load on the NEC for Different Mobility Models



Iyad Tumar

## Energy saving of different mobility models at low data rate (0.25 pkt/s)

| Mobility Model | SR Eng. Saving | MR Eng. Saving | Delta |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------|
| RWP            | 85%            | 90%            | 5%    |
| MF             | 86%            | 93%            | 7%    |
| Zebra          | 85%            | 91%            | 6%    |
| Manhattan      | 84%            | 89%            | 5%    |
| Orlando        | 77%            | 87%            | 10%   |



## Energy saving of different mobility models at high data rate (3 pkt/s)

| Mobility Model | SR Eng. Saving | MR Eng. Saving | Delta |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------|
| RWP            | 73%            | 88%            | 15%   |
| MF             | 76%            | 90%            | 14%   |
| Zebra          | 73%            | 89%            | 16%   |
| Manhattan      | 72%            | 86%            | 14%   |
| Orlando        | 67%            | 84%            | 17%   |



#### Background

- Mobility Models
- Power Management of Disruption Tolerant Networks
- 2 Multi-Radio Power Management Scheme for DTNs
  - Performance Evaluation of the MR Power management Scheme
  - Impact of Different Mobility Models on the MR Power management Scheme

#### Summary, Conclusions and Future Directions



## Summary and Conclusion

#### Summary

- We designed a MR power management scheme for DTNs
- The MR uses two complementary radios: a low-power radio for neighbor discovery and a high-power radio to undertake the data transmission
- We evaluated the MR scheme with different mobility models and we compared it with a single radio scheme (CAPM)

#### Conclusion

- The MR scheme is adaptive to different mobility models
- The MR scheme can achieve almost the same delivery ratio compared to the single radio power management scheme



## Summary and Conclusion

#### Summary

- We designed a MR power management scheme for DTNs
- The MR uses two complementary radios: a low-power radio for neighbor discovery and a high-power radio to undertake the data transmission
- We evaluated the MR scheme with different mobility models and we compared it with a single radio scheme (CAPM)

#### Conclusion

- The MR scheme is adaptive to different mobility models
- The MR scheme can achieve almost the same delivery ratio compared to the single radio power management scheme



## **Future** Directions

#### Routing Protocols

• It would be interesting to study the behavior of the MR scheme with other routing protocols such as MaxProp

#### Traffic Models

• It would be interesting to evaluate the MR power management scheme with different traffic models

#### Adaptive Radios

• To explore adaptive radios for energy saving techniques in disruption tolerant networks



## **Future** Directions

#### **Routing Protocols**

 It would be interesting to study the behavior of the MR scheme with other routing protocols such as MaxProp

#### Traffic Models

• It would be interesting to evaluate the MR power management scheme with different traffic models

#### Adaptive Radios

• To explore adaptive radios for energy saving techniques in disruption tolerant networks



## **Future Directions**

#### **Routing Protocols**

 It would be interesting to study the behavior of the MR scheme with other routing protocols such as MaxProp

#### Traffic Models

• It would be interesting to evaluate the MR power management scheme with different traffic models

#### Adaptive Radios

 To explore adaptive radios for energy saving techniques in disruption tolerant networks



## **Questions???**



< ∃ →